

To Secretary of Department of Transport,

As a courtesy, in good faith and out of respect for all, I write to be helpful in clearing up this matter.

In my submission,

I have received an infringement notice which appears to be a fine and claim upon my person from what is described on the notice as Department of Transport (DOT), namely its owners, servants and agents, for an alleged offence of '**Failing to produce Evidence of Concession**' on 19 February 2014.

Simply, I don't understand. In my loving of God I have fulfilled the law, not broken it harming no other, hence clearly, I oppose and object to such an invalid claim and seek its revocation forthwith.

Under the cover of my objection,

DOT Infringement Notice, Fine and Claim

Your notice is void of evidence showing no harm caused by me to another.

Hence, no law has been broken. Nor was there any intent to commit an offence or break the law.

No trial by jury by my peers has been held nor any conviction recorded.

The statute you refer to is not law, nor applicable, as it is a pretend law made in excess of power and entitled to disregard it. I was not acting in the title of government/corporate agent at the time of the alleged offence.

What this notice and action by DOT does show is, harm to me caused by you.

Jurisdiction

I object to your Office presuming to have jurisdiction, power or force over me.

You claim has failed to show the authority of your Office as Trustee and fiction over a 'flesh and blood man', Sovereign and Queen's subject, being both Executor and Beneficiary of my estate including the land known as the Commonwealth of Australia held in trust.

I rebut all your presumptions, including any consent or admissions I may have unknowingly given under duress at the time of the alleged offence, nullifying and voiding any further harm you may intentionally or otherwise cause me.

All my unalienable rights are reserved in addition to all protection that is afforded me under the law, if and whenever I may be subject to it.

If DOT was acting in the title and capacity of man, another man still has no jurisdiction, right of power or claim over another man, in any way or at any time, unless DOT can proffer evidence, acting either as man or fiction, it does possess the divine power, spirit and authority of Almighty God.

God's Law

God's law is supreme. It is the highest law on Earth.

Only God my creator is my Lord and master. There is no other between the union of God and myself. This other, of course includes DOT. Of course I love DOT as a brother and sister, with the hope he and she in turn loves me.

As Pope Francis recently reaffirmed, there are two rules:

- 1) Love God above all;
- 2) Love the other (your neighbour) because he is your Brother and Sister.

“And thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind, and with all thy strength: this *is* the first commandment.

And the second *is* like, *namely* this, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. There is none other commandment greater than these.” KJV Mark 12:30-31

“Love does no harm to a neighbour. Therefore love is the fulfilment of the law.” NIV Romans 13:10

Rule of Law

There is one simple law on Earth applicable to man, and that is DO NO HARM.

Hence, your claim must evidence how the DOT has been harmed, which it does not.

As notice to you, this claim upon me is causing me harm every moment I have to deal with it.

So the main question arising is, why would DOT wish to cause me harm?

Further Law

The Imperial Acts Application Act 1980 – SECT 8 referred to below, states one can only be fined after a valid court conviction.

[1688] I William and Mary Sess. II (Bill of Rights) c. II

12. That all grants and promises of fines and forfeitures of particular persons before conviction are illegal and void.

Courts must also comply with Chapter 3 of Australia’s Constitution (plurality of judges and a jury of your peers, for the indictment of any offence).

Please note that the Infringements Court is not a constitutionally recognised court. Hence, the legislation upon which you rely to give validity to your infringements is a pretend law.

In 1942 former Chief Justice Latham of the High Court of Australia stated,

*“A pretended law made in excess of power is not and never has been a law at all.
Anybody in the country is entitled to disregard it.”*

Further references to be relied upon:

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Australian Constitution 1900

Bill of Rights 1688

Magna Carta 1215

Birth and Unalienable Rights (Origin of Man)

Further and Better Particulars, Evidence and Full Disclosure

Furthermore, I seek responses to the below within the next 7 days via email or registered mail in support of your claim:

1. Office and authority you purport to hold;
2. Jurisdiction, title and capacity you are acting in;
3. Evidence of harm you are alleging I have caused to DOT or another;
4. Law breached including, but not limited to, God's Law, Contract Law and Trust Law;
5. Copy of contract between myself and DOT;
6. Evidence I am not a 'natural man' and flesh and blood of the Earth exempt from such fiction;
7. The law pertaining to my rights of free passage;
8. Evidence of title over vehicles maintained and operated by DOT;
9. Evidence I was engaged in a commercial activity;
10. Evidence I am not a Sovereign subject of Queen Elizabeth II and beneficiary of her estate, including the common wealth of Australia held in trust;
11. Evidence DOT is not acting in title and capacity of Trustee;
12. Evidence I am not a beneficiary/executor of my estate and in Commonwealth of Australia (COA);
13. Evidence DOT is not acting under the COA;
14. Evidence of title and capacity I was acting in at time of alleged offence;
15. Specifics of DOT owners, principles, persons, title and capacity listed as non-fiction claimants who will give evidence that they have been personally harmed;
16. Statutes you say apply to me whilst not acting in the capacity of a government/corporate agent at the time of the alleged offence;
17. Evidence as to why DOT is causing me harm with this unlawful action;
18. Evidence of revocation of consent upon a public transport/asset;
19. The law as it applies to validity of fines without first obtaining conviction by a jury;
20. The law against DOT for committing an unlawful act and/or crime against another, including relevant penalties;
21. Effect on community and public funds held in trust by DOT, if a claim is made against DOT, including a Victims of Crime application, as compensation for illegal and unlawful crimes;
22. Law that protects me from such invalid claims and system abuse.

Intention to Claim

Furthermore, as this claim by DOT has caused me a great deal of time, energy and stress exacerbating my already heightened stress levels, it must be noted that I respond to this claim under duress and any further injury caused by DOT will only serve to increase their liability.

On this day I give you notice that harm has been done to me by DOT from the 19 February 2014, to which I reserve the right to bring forth a damages claim against DOT for, inter alia, breach of contract and further unlawful acts/crimes perpetrated upon me.

I also advise that I will proceed with a Victims of Crime application and be providing awareness to the community and media of such harmful DOT government/corporate practices.

Conclusion

In addition to my wish to have this damaging cause of action by DOT upon my person revoked, it is also my wish that our Public Transport System (PTS) proceed on a fundamentally different basis compared with its current path of degradation. The harm imposed by fines and proposed armed transport inspectors far outweighs the privileges, services and benefits to the community.

As an alternative to the current system and contribution toward a healthier society, I believe the introduction of a Social Investment Incentive Scheme (SIIS) would serve our community needs in Victoria far better. Current revenue raising practices hinder not aid the positive growth of our nation. Through the public deriving greater benefits from the ownership, operations and returns of our PTS as opposed to foreign ownership and control, our social and economic state of affairs would improve significantly. With some creative inspiration and thought a first class world transport system could be born. Perhaps utilise and fund those unemployed giving such a project roots, congruency and vitality.

However, failing any sensible correction, the PTS system will continue to collapse in alignment with mass consciousness and dissatisfaction that is growing steadily dismantling Victoria's reputation.

One such instance was recently shared in the media (Herald Sun 12/4/2014), where a Queensland man expressed his dissatisfaction with the PTS and failings of the government/corporate body vowing he was in no hurry to visit Victoria. One only has to do a further search of the internet to reveal other vitriolic views that link our failing PTS to a slide in Victoria's reputation.

If a fall of the PTS is the plan of its architects, they will get their wish, possibly sooner than expected.

With love, peace and kindness
God bless