To the UN Human Rights Committee (HRC)

Individual Communication by Kevin Mugur GALALAE under the First Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (OP-ICCPR)

1. Information on the victim(s):

Name of the victim: Kevin Mugur Catalin GALALAE

Nationality: Canadian

Sex of the victim: Male

Date of birth of the victim: 23 November 1965

Mailing address: As a dissident in exile, I have no current address. Mail can be forwarded to my family members in the United States at: 1816 Westminster Trail, Clermont, Florida, 34711, USA

Telephone number of the victim: As a dissident in exile, I have no telephone. I can be contacted indirectly through my family at: (352) 516-9181.

Fax number of the victim: n.a.

Email of the victim: kevinmugurgalalae@hotmail.com

Is (are) the victim(s) deprived of liberty?

Yes. The Canadian authorities have attempted to arrest me on false charges for a fifth time for refusing to stop my human rights activism so I had no choice but to leave the country. I am now a dissident in exile awaiting political asylum.

Additional information about the victim(s):

My sons are co-signatories of this petition as they have suffered and are continuing to suffer as much as I have due to the repression the Government of Canada has brought to bear upon us. My sons' names are:

Benjamin Alexander MARSHALL-GALALAE, my oldest son (born 9 March 2005) Oliver James Constantin GALALAE, my youngest (born 1 June 2010)

2. Information on the family members:

Please provide information regarding the close family members of the alleged victim(s) who are likely to have suffered harm as a result of the alleged violation of human rights.

Name of the family members and relationship to the alleged victim:

Benjamin Alexander MARHALL-GALALE, my oldest son (born 9 March 2005) Oliver James Constantin GALALAE, my youngest (born 1 June 2010)

Mailing address of the family members (including the street or avenue, number/name of building or house, apartment, city, state or province, postal code, country):

412 Emerald Street, Kingston, Ontario, K7P 3E3, Canada

Telephone number of the family members (include area codes if possible):

Home: (613) 545-1453 Mobile (613) 328-8338

Fax of the family members (include area codes if possible):

n.a.

Email of the family members: cind_hk@hotmail.com

Additional information on the family members:

My children, Ben (7 years old) and Oliver (2 years old), are in my wife's care. I have been separated from them by force by the Canadian authorities since 13 May 2011. Having serious emotional and mental problems, my wife has been manipulated and intimidated to fully cooperate with the authorities to keep me away from my children.

3. Member state against which the complaint is submitted:

CANADA

4. The facts of the case:

1. The Government of the United Kingdom, in collaboration with select university departments, operates since 2007 a covert and extrajudicial program of *surveillance and censorship* of the academic environment (henceforth referred to as **SAC**) that is secretly enabled by the Prevent strand of CONTEST: *The United Kingdom's Strategy for Countering International Terrorism*, whose stated first objective is "to challenge the ideology behind violent extremism and support mainstream voices". The CONTEST

policy and SAC are being fiercely opposed by the academic community. Academics for Academic Freedom¹ and Students for Academic Freedom² are but two of several organizations openly defying the government's attack on freedom of thought and conscience.

- **2.** SAC works by circumventing, ignoring or blatantly violating both national and international laws. Government agents operating from within and outside the country should the course be offered virtually are assigned to specific universities where they enroll in programs and courses as regular students, paying tuition fees out of pocket. This allows universities to play innocent should anyone cry foul and to avoid legal repercussions for violating privacy rules, data protection laws, expressional rights, freedom of conscience, education law and the trust of their students. Once embedded, the spies masquerade as legitimate students while secretly collaborating with the course tutors.
- 3. In the name of defending Britain's "shared values" and "community cohesion", which are CONTEST's primary objectives, the embedded SAC agents gather information on students, test their allegiance to the system, assist the course tutors in deflecting and diverting the discussions away from subjects the government deems taboo when the opinions expressed by students run counter to Britain's foreign or domestic policies, manipulate and coerce students into toeing the politically correct line, manufacture consent and, should that fail, provoke students to commit netiquette breaches or simply create an environment so harassing as to cause targeted students to quit their studies of their own accord. The overall effect is to brainwash the young and the impressionable to hold biased views in line with the British Government's foreign and domestic policies and to squash dissenting opinions that challenge the status quo before they reach a larger audience. Whether deliberate or accidental, the Government of the UK imposes positions that are contrary to reason, factually incorrect, and antithetical to the values, background and experience of foreign and even domestic students, positions that fly in the face of academic freedom, violate free speech and cause the retreat of reason, endemic dishonesty, and the corruption of public debate; enchaining intellectual discourse and political analysis to preconceived notions derived from a toxic mix of political correctness, manufactured consent and hidden agendas. As a result, entrenched discrimination towards foreigners who do not share British values, and/or natives who do not accept received wisdom and collective denial, and who do not show unquestioned respect for British institutions and policies, or who dare diverge from accepted beliefs, is the order of the day in British universities that collaborate with the government on SAC and allow government agents to define the terms and parameters of intellectual debate. Data release by Universities UK, the umbrella organization representing Britain's higher education institutions (HEI), reveals that 2/3 of Britain's HEIs assist the government in spying on and censoring students ("Freedom of Speech on Campus: rights and http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/Publications/Documents/ responsibilities UK universities". $\underline{FreedomOfSpeechOnCampusRightsAndResponsibilitiesInUKuniversities.pdf.)}$
- **4.** On the 3rd of June 2009, six weeks into a ten-week online Political Philosophy course offered by Oxford's Continuing Education Department, which I attended from my home in Canada, I was expelled for allegedly breaching netiquette. From the very beginning, I maintained that my expulsion was not only unfair but also motivated by ulterior motives and have appealed it³. Subsequent evidence has revealed that

¹ Academics for Academic Freedom, http://afaf.web.officelive.com/default.aspx

² Students for Academic Freedom, http://www.studentsforacademicfreedom.org/about/

³ "Censorship, Surveillance and Discrimination at Oxford University: Betraying academic freedom for the government's secret agendas", 2 February 2010: http://www.scribd.com/doc/63282492/Censorship-Surveillance-and-Discrimination-at-Oxford-University and also at

 $https://wikispooks.com/w/images/d/d2/Censorship\%2C_Surveillance_and_Discrimination_at_Oxford_University.pdf$

[&]quot;Appendices 1-20 for 'Censorship, Surveillance and Discrimination at Oxford University":

https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Appendices_1-

²⁰ for Censorship, Surveillance and Discrimination at Oxford University.zip

I was subjected to a premeditated attack by the course tutor, Dr. Giovanni De Grandis, the embedded SAC agent, Ivor Middleton, and agent-in-training, Gloria Portella, who had decided that my views are unwelcome at Oxford and took the liberty to devise a legally palatable way to run me out of the course. They achieved this by posting an inflammatory and leading question in the common room inviting equivocation and then insinuating that my long posts had prevented others from participating in the course. When I took issue with this notion and defended myself against their accusations and attempt to scapegoat me for invented offenses, I was rebuked for breaching netiquette and was not only immediately shut out of the course but also, a fact at the time unknown to me, barred from ever attending Oxford University.

5. The subsequent appeals I made to Oxford's various internal adjudicating bodies – namely, in the following order, to the Director of Public Programmes, the Director of the Continuing Education Department, the Proctors Office, and finally to an interdepartmental Disciplinary Panel convened by the Senior Proctor, a process that lasted nearly seven months, from 26 June 2009 to 18 January 2010, and that properly ended only when the OIA compelled Oxford to issue a Completion of Procedures Letter, which occurred 31 March 2010 - revealed systemic obstruction of justice, bad faith, withholding of evidence, delayed release of evidence, selective release of evidence, misrepresentation of facts, false depositions, and repeated and flagrant violations of the university's rules and regulations so as to hide Oxford's complicity in SAC and conceal the fact that my expulsion from the course was a direct result of the government's covert surveillance and censorship of Oxford's academic environment. The Disciplinary Panel, Oxford's final adjudication authority in my case, convened its meeting and held its deliberations in my absence and despite my objections that I had not been allowed to present my defence, which was promised to me by the Senior Proctor, and found that I should not have been excluded from the course and that my expulsion was "disproportionately severe", but failed to compensate me beyond the already reimbursed tuition fees or give me the opportunity to finish the course. I thus decided to take my case to England's highest adjudication authority, the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA), whose remit is to consider complaints that have first been taken through the procedures of a Higher Education institution's own internal system without reaching a satisfactory conclusion in the view of the complainant. Oxford time frame: 3 June 2009 – 31 March 2010 (nearly ten months)

6. Although Oxford tried to prevent me from taking my case to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) by repeatedly refusing to issue a Completion of Procedures Letter and then by questioning the OIA's jurisdiction, I nonetheless succeeded in referring my case to the OIA on 4 February 2010 and the OIA accepted my appeal and assigned case handler Siobhan Hohls to my complaint file (OIA/08877/10) in April 2010. On 1 November 2010, after unusual and conspicuous delays on both the OIA's and Oxford's part, Oxford finally provided its representations to the OIA in respect to my complaint. On 12 December 2010, I presented my rebuttal to the OIA. The OIA issued its Draft Decision on 21 December 2010, despite the fact that Oxford compromised the OIA's decision-making process by failing to provide the minutes of the Disciplinary Panel's meeting, choosing to keep them secret while pretending that they do not exist. I issued a response to the OIA's Draft Decision on 22 December 2010⁴, voicing my deep dissatisfaction. The OIA issued its Formal Decision on 20 January 2011, which found my complaint against Oxford to be "partly justified" due to minor regulatory breaches on Oxford's part, but exonerated Oxford of any serious wrongdoing, deliberately overlooked and made no mention of SAC and of Oxford's complicity in SAC, went out of its way not to connect SAC with my expulsion, and failed to

[&]quot;Appendices 21-32 for 'Censorship, Surveillance and Discrimination at Oxford University": https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Appendices 21-

³² for Censorship, Surveillance and Discrimination at Oxford University.zip.

⁴ "Kevin Galalae's response to OIA draft decision on complaint against Oxford University", 22 December 2010: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/f/fe/Kevin_Galalae%27s_response_to_OIA_draft_decision_on_complaint_against_Oxford_University.pdf

offer proper compensation, or to take Oxford to task for withholding the minutes of the Disciplinary Panel meeting⁵. OIA time frame for complaint against Oxford: 4 February 2010 - 20 January 2011 (eleven and a half months)

- 7. The Political Philosophy course I attended at Oxford was to be a warm-up to a two-year Master Program in International Relations and Global Order to which I had been accepted by the University of Leicester and that I subsequently began in October, 2009. On 18 November 2009, eight weeks into the first ten-week module of the Masters in International Relations and Global Order programme at Leicester University, I was forced to withdraw. Mr. Nick Wright, the course tutor, deliberately marked down my assignments as soon as it became obvious that my socio-political analyses and my political philosophy clashed with the dictates of CONTEST. From an A student I became an F student. It is unclear to what extent SAC's embedded agent, Carla Liuzzo who operates from Doha, Qatar, and works for the Qatar Foundation, which is a front for the Qatari secret service was involved in Leicester's decision to force me to quit the program by unfairly evaluating my assignments.
- **8.** My attempts to seek a fair evaluation of my work and a persecution-free environment for my contributions to the discussion forums went unheeded⁶. As at Oxford, Leicester's academics and administrators have lied on record, have acted in bad faith, and have denied me recourse to the university's highest adjudication body in order to hide the university's complicity in SAC. Several adjudicators (i.e. the Director of Distance Learning, the Head of the Department of Politics and International Relations, and the Pro-Vice Chancellor, in this order) refused to admit any bias in the way my assignments and work were evaluated by the course tutor and his colleagues, despite their flagrant lack of objectivity and fairness. This was the university's way to show me the door without openly expelling me from the course, which would have exposed the university to easily provable accusations of censorship and breaches of education law. It is thus Leicester and SAC put an end to my decade-long dream of studying International Relations and to five years of financial preparations and career adjustments in order to be able to enrol in the Master Program.
- 9. At first, I thought that Leicester University's Department of Politics and International Relations is narrow and ideological and does not tolerate dissenting views. In time, however, I came to understand that the prerogatives of CONTEST *The United Kingdom's Strategy for Countering International Terrorism* trump academic freedom and are used to purge Leicester University's academic environment of ideas and ideals that are deemed to threaten Britain's "*shared values*" and "*community cohesion*". In this politicized and censored environment informed debate is not possible and given my family's background my parents left communist Romania in the 1980s and abandoned their careers and lives in order that their children may live in freedom in the West I had no choice but to act according to my conscience and quit the program. Leicester time frame: 18 November 2009 28 April 2010 (over five months)
- **10.** Although Leicester University tried to prevent me from taking my case to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) by repeatedly refusing to issue a Completion of Procedures Letter, which the University was compelled to do by the OIA on 28 April 2010, I nonetheless succeeded in referring my case to the OIA on 12 April 2010⁷ and the OIA accepted my appeal and assigned case handler Fiona

⁵ Exhibit 23: "OIA draft decision on Kevin Galalae's complaint against Oxford University", December 2010: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/46/OIA_draft_decision_on_Kevin_Galalae%27s_complaint_against_Oxford_University.pdf ⁶ "Letter to Dr. Simon Rofe: Manufacturing Consent and Intellectual Cloning at Leicester University", November 2009: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/8/8a/Manufacturing_Consent_and_Intellectual_Cloning_at_Leicester_University_-_Letter_to_Dr._Rofe.pdf ⁷ "Censorship, Surveillance and Indoctrination at Leicester University: Corrupting Young Minds, Cloning Political Scientists"

⁷ "Censorship, Surveillance and Indoctrination at Leicester University: Corrupting Young Minds, Cloning Political Scientists and Manufacturing Consent", April 2010:

Draper to my complaint file on 9 July 2010. On 26 July 2010, the OIA issued a Preliminary Decision on my complaint against Leicester University⁸. I presented my response to the OIA's Preliminary Decision on 15 August 2010⁹. I took issue with the OIA for failing to answer whether it "is under a government directive not to investigate complaints about the existence of a covert surveillance and censorship program of the academic environment", which would have demonstrated its impartiality and independence. I also took issue with the OIA's ill-construed notion that my withdrawal from the course was voluntary; when it clearly rested on conditions imposed on me by the university, conditions that created an atmosphere which made it impossible for me to continue either the course or the program, an atmosphere that bordered on harassment and that can only be construed as the result of an escalating and concerted effort to make my participation in the course so unpleasant, and my work so blatantly misevaluated as to force me to quit. The OIA nevertheless issued its Formal Decision on 14 September 2010 and found my complaint against Leicester to be "not justified", 10. Its decision is based on material errors, complete disdain for the facts, negligent refusal to consider the existence of SAC at Leicester and its effect on my expulsion, and suspicious willingness to overlook any and all regulatory and procedural breaches and violations committed by Leicester before and after my expulsion, all of which I have documented in my response to its Preliminary Decision. OIA time frame for complaint against **Leicester: 12 April 2010 – 14 September 2010 (just over six months)**

Against the background of my expulsion from and appeals to Oxford University, Leicester University and, subsequently, the OIA, the following events occurred:

11. Once I became aware of the existence of SAC and of the risks that the British and Qatari intelligence agencies, who had been empowered by their governments to act outside the law, posed to me and my family, I sought the protection of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. At the end of February 2010, I met with Detachment Commander Andy Harbour to alert him of the possibility that harm may come to me or my family as a result of my efforts to expose Britain's illicit spy program on its universities, and that though this possibility is remote he should be aware of who may be behind potential reprisals. He was very sympathetic and promised to keep an eye on me, but could not offer any official protection, which indeed I did not request. He suggested I contact the media.

12. My efforts to get the British, Canadian or Western media to publish my evidence on SAC, which is an ongoing process that began in March 2010, failed. Had I succeeded in getting public exposure, it would have provided a level of security for me and my family since the public's knowledge would have deterred

```
"Appendices 1-20 to 'Censorship, Surveillance and Indoctrination at Leicester University'":

https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Appendices_1-
20_to_Censorship,_Surveillance_and_Indoctrination_at_Leicester_University.zip

"Appendices 21-40 to 'Censorship, Surveillance and Indoctrination at Leicester University'":

https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Appendices_21-
40_to_Censorship,_Surveillance_and_Indoctrination_at_Leicester_University.zip

8 "OIA preliminary decision on Kevin Galalae complaint against Leicester University", 26 July 2010:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/d/d6/OIA_preliminary_decision_on_Kevin_Galalae_complaint_against_Leicester_University.pdf.

9 "Kevin Galalae's response to OIA preliminary decision on Leicester University complaint", 15 August 2010:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/f/f8/Kevin_Galalae%27s_response_to_OIA_preliminary_decision_on_Leicester_University_complaint.pdf

"Supporting files 1-16 to Kevin Galalae's response to OIA preliminary decision on Leicester University complaint":
```

https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Supporting_files_116_to_Kevin_Galalae%E2%80%99s_response_to_OIA_preliminary_decision_on_Leicester_University_complaint.zip
"Supporting files 17-24 to Kevin Galalae's response to OIA preliminary decision on Leicester University complaint":
https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Supporting_files_17-

24_to_Kevin_Galalae%E2%80%99s_response_to_OIA_preliminary_decision_on_Leicester_University_complaint.zip
Exhibit 22: "OIA final decision on Kevin Galalae complaint against Leicester University", 13 September 2010:
https://wikispooks.com/w/images/1/1f/OIA_final_decision_on_Kevin_Galalae_complaint_against_Leicester_University.pdf

the British and Qatari security agencies from attempting to harm me. D-Notices in Britain and self-enforced censorship in Western media, fuelled by widespread racist sentiments and/or fear of Muslim fundamentalists appear to be the reasons why no one in the West is willing to publish the truth about SAC. In April 2010, however, I did succeed in publishing my "Open Letter" in the Romanian magazine "Flacara lui Adrian Paunescu", partly due to personal family connections to the editor and owner of the magazine.

- 13. My appeals and petitions for help to various human rights and legal organizations and to Canadian politicians, which I launched in April 2010, have also fallen on deaf ears¹¹. The marginalization I experienced as a result of seeking justice and exposing SAC, only raised the level of anxiety and disappointment for me and my wife and marks the beginning of serious problems in our marriage. It has also spelled the end of my relationship with my older brother, who is a German citizen, and several friends, both in Canada and abroad, who appear to be afraid of the forces behind SAC and would rather distance themselves from me than suffer dire repercussions.
- **14.** In May 2010, this marginalization and discrimination reached a peak when the Romanian embassy in Ottawa, most likely at the request of the British Government, refused to renew my Romanian passport (I have dual citizenship, Romanian and Canadian) and told me in no uncertain terms that I may not even be a Romanian citizen anymore, but failed to explain why.
- **15.** Alarmed at the level of repression I was experiencing and at the reluctance of civil society throughout the western world to condemn SAC and the multiple violations of my human rights, I decided to go on hunger strike. I first asked Oxford and Leicester universities to grant me permission to hunger strike on their campuses, but they both refused ¹². I then requested permission to hunger strike on public land from the Oxford and Leicester police constabularies and they not only refused to grant it, but also issued veiled threats that my protest would infringe unnamed laws and that British immigration will want to have a word with me if I enter the UK ¹³. Unable to protest in the UK, I then sought permission to protest on the grounds of the residence of Canada's Governor General, the Queen's representative in Canada, but she too refused to grant it ¹⁴. Finally, I was given permission to hunger strike on Canada's Parliament in Ottawa. To my dismay, the leaders of Canada's political parties ignored my pleas for help, as did the Canadian media, throughout the duration of my hunger strike, which, due to health problems my wife experienced at the time (she was nine months pregnant) I had to cut short after only four days (June 1-4). My second son was born five days later, on 9 June 2010, and I was not able to resume the hunger strike.
- **16.** Over the course of twelve months, I have been the target of three cyber-attacks that have disabled my computers, damaged their contents, disrupted my work and caused me great material losses. Two of these attacks have succeeded in completely erasing my computer files. Had I not saved them on external hard drives most of the evidence I had collected on SAC would have been destroyed. While I cannot prove it,

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4c/Canada%27s Governor General infringes right of protest.pdf

¹¹ Article 1: "Covert Censorship at Oxford and Leicester University: CONTEST and State-Sponsored Discrimination", April 2010, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/0/06/Covert_Censorship_at_Oxford_and_Leicester_University.pdf , also published in the Romanian newspaper "Flacăra lui Adrian Păunescu" April and May 2010 issues. Available also online at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63281249/Covert-Censorship-at-Oxford-and-Leicester-University.

¹² "Oxford University infringes right of protest", 3-9 April 2010:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a8/Oxford_University_infringes_right_of_protest.pdf.

[&]quot;Leicester University infringes right of protest", 3-8 April 2010:

 $https://wikispooks.com/w/images/5/5f/Leicester_University_infringes_right_of_protes.pdf$

¹³ "Oxford police infringe right of protest", 20 April – 6 October 2010:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/39/Oxford_police_infringe_right_of_protest.pdf

[&]quot;Leicester police infringe right of protest", 2 June – 6 October 2010:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/5/5f/Leicester_University_infringes_right_of_protes.pdf

¹⁴ "Canada's Governor General infringes right of protest", 29 April – 11 May 2010:

these cyber-attacks could have only come from Britain's security agencies with the aim of intimidating me and destroying the evidence.

- 17. Throughout 2010 and 2011, my electronic communication has been routinely intercepted and tampered with. I have been prevented from contacting a variety of media, immigrant, legal, and Muslim organizations, so much so that in order to ascertain whether or not my emails reach their destination I have had to end them with the request that the recipient acknowledged receipt and with an explanation as to why this is necessary. The British secret service has for all intents and purposes electronically imprisoned me, ensuring that even email accounts I opened from the public library are shut down within a day or two. It has even disrupted my electronic (and perhaps even telephone) communication with family and friends.
- **18.** I have evidence that my postal mail has been intercepted and delayed on one occasion, a file from the OIA, which represents a clear and unnecessary violation of the right to privacy and was meant solely to ensure that Oxford does not release any evidence on SAC and that justice is delayed and denied.
- 19. Having exhausted national authorities and national and international NGOs, I appealed for justice to the European Community and the United Nations. My letter to the European Commissioner for Education, Androulla Vassiliou, was answered by none other than Mr. Martin Schieffer, the Acting Head of Unit F1 (Fight against Terrorism), of the Directorate-General for Home Affairs, who confidently informed me that the violations of fundamental rights I allege have no link to European Union law and that the EC has therefore no power to intervene and that I should seek redress at the national level through the competent authorities, including the courts¹⁵. I fared even worse with Dr. Martin Scheinin, the UN's Special Rapporteur on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights while Countering Terrorism, who never even bothered to reply to my repeated entreaties, let alone do anything about it.
- **20.** My subsequent enquiries and investigation have revealed that the EC has adopted Britain's SAC through the Stockholm Programme and that it has begun implementing it Europe-wide in 2010. I have exposed this in my article "The Great Secret: Surveillance and Censorship in Britain and the EU", which I wrote in April 2010 and succeeded in publishing on the Internet a few months later, first on Cryptome and then on Wikispooks, and which in the meantime has found its way as far afield as China, but still no mention of SAC in the mainstream media ¹⁶.
- **21.** On 8 February 2011, I collapsed, lost consciousness for several minutes and had a seizure due to pneumonia aggravated by stress and exhaustion from 18 months of conflict with Britain and chronic sleep deprivation. I was taken to the hospital by ambulance and kept there for observation for a few hours.

The events I describe from this point on have occurred after my first application to the European Court of Human Rights:

22. The stress the British Government has subjected me to, has most recently caused the destruction of my family. Unable to bear the stress, anxiety, surveillance and repression the Government of the UK has unleashed on us, and the innumerable hours and nights I have had to dedicate to the struggle for justice for the past 20 months, my wife has chosen to separate from me on 21 February 2011.

¹⁵ See File 12 in "Supporting files 1-16 to Kevin Galalae's response to OIA preliminary decision on Leicester University complaint": https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Supporting files 1-

¹⁶_to_Kevin_Galalae%E2%80%99s_response_to_OIA_preliminary_decision_on_Leicester_University_complaint.zip

¹⁶ Article 2: "The Great Secret: Surveillance and Censorship in Britain and the EU", 25 October 2010, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4d/The_Great_Secret.pdf and at http://www.scribd.com/doc/46050686/The-Great-Secret-Surveillance-and-Censorship-in-Britain-and-the-EU.

- **23.** On 1 March 2011, I came to the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg, France, in person to hand in my application against the UK and then returned home to patch up my marriage¹⁷.
- **24.** On 12 April 2011, I returned to Strasbourg, France, to commence a hunger strike at the European institutions to compel the Council of Europe to condemn SAC and to force the Government of the UK to compensate all students whose rights have been violated. I obtained a permit on 13 April 2011¹⁸ and issued my statement of intent the previous day¹⁹, which is the day I commenced my hunger strike.
- **25.** Over the course of the hunger strike, which lasted 30 days time during which I lost 25% of my body weight, i.e. 55 pounds I published six articles, each containing damning evidence of gross violations of international law and of our constitutional rights by not only the government of the UK but also by the EU and the US and its close allies:

"Hunger Strike Appeal Letter to Mr. Hammarberg, Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights", 19 April 2011, available at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/2f/Kevin_Galalae%27s_hunger_strike_appeal_letter.pdf and at http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae.htm and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/64401066/Hunger-Strike-Week-One-Dear-Mr-Hammarberg.

"Message from The Man Outside at 14 Days of Hunger Strike", 25 April 2001, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/31/Message_from_the_man_outside_after_14_days_of_hunger_s trike.pdf and at Cryptome as view only; Google "Cryptome, Galalae, Freedom in Education 2". Available also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/64401413/HUNGER-STRIKE-WEEK-TWO-Letter-From-the-Man-Outside.

"Educating the "Educated": Message From The Man Outside at 21 Days of Hunger Strike", 2 May 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/f/f8/Educating_the_educated.pdf and at http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae3.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/64401671/Hunger-Strike-Week-Three-Educating-the-Educated.

"Is Commissioner Hammarberg Protecting the Emir of Qatar? Is the Council of Europe Subservient to British Interests?", 9 May 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/28/ls_Commissioner_Hammarberg_Protecting_the_Emir_of_Qatar

.pdf and at http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae5.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/64401799/HUNGER-STRIKE-WEEK-FOUR-Is-Commissioner-Hammarberg-Protecting-the-Emir-of-Qatar-Is-the-Council-of-Europe-Subservient-to-British-Interests.

"The People's Declaration on Restoring the Powers of the European Court of Human Rights", 9 May 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/e/e0/THE_PEOPLE%27S_DECLARATION.pdf and at http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae6.pdf.

¹⁷ Exhibit 24: "Kevin Galalae vs. The United Kingdon, Application no. 13386/11, European Court of Human Rights", 1 March 2011, also online at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/1/19/Kevin_Galalae_vs._the_United_Kingdom%2C_European_Court_of_Human_Rights.pdf and at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63283048/Kevin-Galalae-vs-the-United-Kingdom-at-the-European-Court-of-Human-Rights.

¹⁸ "Strasbourg Police Permit for Kevin Galalae's 32-day Hunger Strike in Strasbourg, France", 13 April 2011: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/f/f6/Strasbourg Permit.pdf

¹⁹ Article 3: "Hands Off Our Children", 12 April 2011, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4a/Hunger strike handout.pdf.

"Appeal to Reason: Letter to President Obama, Président Sarkozy, Bundeskanzlerin Merkel and Prime Minister Cameron", 9 May 2011, available at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/5/5e/APPEAL TO REASON.pdf and at

http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae4.pdf and also at

http://www.scribd.com/doc/63470012/APPEAL-TO-REASON-Letter-to-President-Obama-President-Sarkozy-Bundeskanzlerin-Merkel-and-Prime-Minister-Cameron.

26. The very same day I published my first article (April 19), which is a letter directed to Mr. Hammarberg, who at the time was the Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights, the European Court of Human Rights issued its decision (the Court reissued a copy of its decision on April 26) stating that my application against the UK was rejected, as the Court found it inadmissible because no rights appear to have been violated. I believe my application was summarily dismissed on direct interference from Mr. Hammarberg who abused his authority to influence the court and on additional influence from the British Government. I explained why my case was shut down and provided evidence of departure from due process in a subsequent article²⁰. It is interesting to note that the Court states in the letter that I lodged my application on 25 February 2011 when in fact I lodged it on 1 March 2011. It is also interesting to note that the decision was made by a single judge (V. A. de Gaetano) and not by a panel of three judges, which was customary prior to the UK-led attack on the jurisdiction and impartiality of the Court; an attack sealed with the Izmir Declaration and which I exposed in my 5 article, entitled "The People's Declaration on Restoring the Powers of the European Court of Human Rights". It is most interesting to note that the Court failed to inform me of its decision for another month even though I continued to starve at the gates of the Court for 23 more days, lost an additional 20% of my body weight (on top of the 5% I had already lost in the first week of hunger strike) over the remainder of my hunger strike right under the windows of the Court's judges, and made several inquiries in person as to the status of my pleading, which according to the rules was supposed to have been relayed to me as soon as the verdict was issued. One cannot help but conclude that either the Court enjoys watching applicants suffer or that the judiciary was waiting for the Council of Europe to take a stand and was hoping that it would not have to lose its credibility by having to lie in order to hide that it is abiding by a super-injunction that prevents it from dealing with any matters deemed to be under the control of the United Nations Security Council.

27. Throughout my stay in Strasbourg my wife emailed and called me on the phone almost every day and often several times a day. On 10 May 2011 she told me that our oldest son, Ben, was beginning to suffer due to my absence and I made the decision to abort the hunger strike and return home. It was an easy decision to make since I was missing my family dearly and the Council of Europe did not show any signs of condemning SAC or even allowing its press office to acknowledge my presence in Strasbourg. I came to the conclusion that nothing could be expected from Europe's leadership, just as nothing could be expected from Britain's leadership or that of Canada for that matter. It became clear to me that the world is firmly under authoritarian control and that the elites – be they in the media, judiciary, government or NGOs – have abandoned their consciences and responsibilities and are looking only after their own interests, lest they should jeopardize their cozy jobs by offending the nexus of power at the helm of the New World Order. I could not help but muse that this generation has learned nothing from past experience and that it acts with the same lack of courage and decency as the generations who caved in to the will of fascists and Nazis prior to Ward War II. It also became clear to me that the primary barrier to the restoration of democracy and the rule of law is xenophobia and especially Islamaophobia, just as for the generations who lived through the Holocaust it was anti-Semitism. Racism is as alive now as it was then. The only thing that has changed is the methods of repression and the level of brutality, this

²⁰ See pp. 15-18 in Article 10: "*The Man: Turning the Tide*", 1 July 2011, also online at: http://cryptome.org/0004/turntide.pdf and at https://wikispooks.com/w/images/b/b9/Turning_the_Tide.pdf as well as at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63280448/Turning-the-Tide.

generation choosing electronic and physical surveillance over fenced ghettoes, covert censorship over public book burnings, expulsions and repatriations over concentration camps and gassing.

28. I could not have known then just how right I was in my conclusions and that while I was sacrificing my life for our fundamental rights in Europe, the racists and Crown lovalists in the UK and their counterparts in Canada were hard at work planning my demise. As soon as I arrived home on May 13, the authorities swooped in on me like vultures on carrion. They had found my Achilles heel in my marital problems and my wife's feeble mental and emotional state. While I was in Europe, my wife, who is vulnerable because unwell²¹, was subjected to intense pressure from her family, "friends" and lawyers²² to file a false report with the police, to send misleading emails to the European Court of Human Rights giving them to believe that I have mental problems, to leave me just hours before I arrived home (even though I had returned home because of my wife's entreaties that I come back to her and the children), and to abduct my children and refuse to allow me to see them without an explanation why. Also unbeknown to me, the authorities were hard at work altering my medical records to create a non-existent history of mental problems. They had, in other words, set an elaborate trap for me that in the minds of those who conceived it was to work as follows: 'we take his children away and prevent him from seeing them, he will go irate and we will arrest him for criminal domestic harassment, we then use the fabricated history of mental problems as an excuse that he poses a danger to his wife and children and thus have the perfect cover to keep him away from his children until he acquiesces and abandons his human rights work.' More than this, their intention was to label me as delusional and thus discredit my revelations about the spy program I had uncovered and exposed as being the inventions of a lunatic.

The attack on me, which I described in detail in a published article²³, was orchestrated and coordinated by a core of three individuals who used their positions of influence to achieve the politically expedient goal of silencing my activism and who achieved this by abusing the law, misusing the institutions of state, corrupting civil servants, taking advantage of my wife's mental illness and exploiting the love of my children. The pivotal figure and ringleader is a political heavyweight, Peter Milliken, MP for Kingston (1988 – 2011) and the longest serving Speaker of the House of Commons (2001 – 2011). As descendant of United Empire Loyalists who left the United States of America after the American Revolution, Mr. Milliken is an ardent Crown loyalist, and a jurisprudence graduate of Oxford University. Angered by my attack of the Crown, the policies of the UK and of his own inaction in respect to a British spy program that affects Canadian students, Mr. Milliken made the destruction of my life his retirement project. He received the information he needed in order to orchestrate an attack on me from his brother-in-law, Dr. Ross McIlquham, who is also my family doctor and a long-time friend of my wife's family. Mr. Milliken used his unparalleled political position at the national level to coordinate between the British and Canadian governments and to satisfy the British government's objective of silencing my criticisms of its policies and my revelations about its covert ops. He used his influence locally to manipulate the institutions of state. Dr. Ross McIlquham relayed to his brother-in-law inside information about my relationship with my wife and her false and malicious allegations about my mental health. He also altered my medical record to create a non-existent history of mental illness. The third conspirator is none other than my father-in-law, Donald Marshall, who saw his social position threatened by my political activism, and who applied relentless pressure on his daughter, my wife, to betray me and to give false statements to the authorities about my mental health and character. John Gerretsen, Ontario's Attorney General, a

-

²¹ Exhibit 10 (Forensic and Clinical analysis of Cindy's Condition), written for Dr. Ross McIlquham before I knew of his involvement in the attack on me.

²² See email on p. 7 in Article 15: "Letter to the RCMP", 6 November 2011, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a5/Letter_to_RCMP.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79608673/Letter-to-the-RCMP.

²³ Article 16: "A Conspiracy of Racists and Crown Loyalists: The Kingston Hillbillies", 18 December 2011, also online at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/76998053/A-Conspiracy-of-Racists-and-Crown-Loyalists-The-Kingston-Hillbillies and at https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/24/The_Kingston_Hillbillies.pdf.

Kingston resident and lifelong friend of Peter Milliken, has extended the core conspirators the political cover they needed to get away with their attack and is therefore fully complicit. John Gerretsen's son, Marc Gerretsen, is Kingston's mayor and the most influential member of the Kingston Police Services Board, the civilian body in charge of overseeing the police²⁴.

29. Two days after my arrival home, I was arrested by the police and forced into the psychiatric ward of the local hospital for an involuntary 72-hour psychiatric assessment (from May 15-18). While there, I was denied access to the patient advocate and the police fed misleading information to the psychiatrists about my mental health and history; a history of mental health which they had fashioned out of thin air by tampering with my medical record with the assistance of my family doctor, Ross McIlquham, who also happens to be the coroner for the Kingston Police and the brother-in-law of Peter Milliken, former MP for Kingston and the longest serving Speaker-of-the-House-of-Commons. Unable to find me insane or mentally deficient in any way, two hours before my release from hospital (and only four hours after the psychiatrist in charge, Dr. Duncan Scott, had told me that there is nothing wrong with my mental health but that I should be careful because "I am a person of interest to the police") I was charged on the hospital ward with a crime I did not commit (criminal domestic harassment), prevented from calling a lawyer, denied bail, and thrown in prison. Upon my eventual release on bail on May 24, I was given the most draconian bail conditions even though I have no criminal record²⁵. I was cut off from my children; thrown out of my own home; robbed of my laptop computer (and 10 years of intellectual property) by the police; prevented from accessing my office, reference books, manuscripts and database; forced to abide by a midnight to 7AM curfew; and prohibited from coming within 500 meters of my wife, children, home, family, friends, and their places of residence and work. The police also forced me and my surety on threat of imprisonment to give up evidence that exonerates me from any wrongdoing and which shows unequivocally that the accusations against me are malicious and premeditated ²⁶. I describe the events leading to my arrest and my ordeal in the psychiatric ward and in jail in a published article that merits close scrutiny.²⁷

30. While I was in prison awaiting the second bail hearing, the police constable in charge of my case, Detective Diane McCarthy, contacted my friends, Ishin and Nursen Kaya, who had offered to bail me out and attempted to dissuade them from acting as my sureties because, she said, I was "diagnosed insane" and "pose a danger to their child". Luckily, my friends did not believe a word the police said. What is insidious about Detective McCarthy's attempt to isolate me from my friends so that I would remain incarcerated is that she knew perfectly well that no such diagnosis about my mental health had been made because I was in perfect mental health, otherwise I would not have been released from the psychiatric ward of the hospital. Detective McCarthy's attempt to isolate me in prison by frightening and lying to my friends is documented on pp. 45-46 of my article *The Man Inside*²⁸.

²⁴ Not surprisingly, the Kingston Police is totally out of control and no better than organized crime. Among the prison population, the Kingston Police is seen as the most corrupt and abusive police force in Ontario and its constables are deeply despised. To make matters worse, Kingston is Canada's prison city and an incestuous relationship between the prisons, the police and the politicians has turned the industry of incarceration into the city's largest employer and a powerful lobby with vested interests. This lobby is in the process of extorting money from the federal government by inflating crime with a policy of arrests and release that preys on the weak and the innocent with dire consequences on families and the fabric of society.

²⁵ Exhibit 14 (Recognizance of Bail)

²⁶ Article 15, pp. 4-7 (this is just a short sample).

²⁷ Article 11: "The Man Inside: Incipient Totalitarianism in the Western World", 20 June 2011, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE_MAN_INSIDE._INCIPIENT_TOTALITARIANISM_IN_THE_WESTERN_WORLD.pdf and at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63469084/The-Man-Inside-Incipient-Totalitarianism-in-the-Western-World and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63469084/The-Man-Inside-Incipient-Totalitarianism-in-the-Western-World.

²⁸ Ibid., pp. 45-6.

- **31.** Timed to coincide with my forcible incarceration in a psychiatric ward (May 15-18), was the response of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR)²⁹, which arrived at my home in Canada on Monday, May 16, two days after I was thrown in the psychiatric ward of Hotel Dieu Hospital. This is no mere coincidence but betrays cooperation between EU officials, the British Crown and Canadian authorities. This conclusion is supported by the fact that the ECHR acted contrary to its own rules when it did not inform me of its verdict while I was in Strasbourg, France, and hungered daily in front of the Court's building. The ECHR also acted contrary to its rules when it carried on email correspondence with my wife while I was on hunger strike in front of its building and never informed me about it. In accepting my wife's aberrant ranting about my purportedly deficient mental health, the ECHR has compromised its impartiality and objectivity so as to find a convenient excuse for rejecting my case and a perfect cover for hiding the fact that the Court has been subjected to political interference and is as a result abiding by a super-injunction.
- **32.** By now fully aware of the Crown's intention to label me as delusional/mentally ill and a danger to my wife and children, I knew I had to urgently prove my mental health. As soon as I was released from prison, I subjected myself to two not just one psychological evaluations by independent practitioners outside the city of Kingston, where I knew the authorities had been coopted by the federal government to lie and to cheat. As expected, I was found to be in perfect mental and emotional health and no danger to anyone³⁰. I posted the reports on the Internet without delay to make sure the public knows the truth. The authorities did not expect this because they thought I would not have the money to pay for professional medical assessments. On the day of my release from prison, I found out that the authorities, with the help of my wife, had cut me off from my account where my wage was deposited. They knew I was penniless, but they underestimated the generosity of my international friends who lend me the money necessary to pay for the reports.
- **33.** To cripple me emotionally, the authorities ensured that I cannot see my children or even tell them that I had arrived home. More than this, they forced me to be assessed by the Children's Aid Society (CAS), which allowed me only three supervised visits with my children, in a prison-like environment, over the course of four months. These visits were emotionally devastating for me and my children. Eventually, I was granted an unsupervised visit for 1.5 hours. This is the last time I saw my children. During that visit my oldest son told me that Ray Dorey, the CAS worker assigned to us, had told him that both his mother and father are "bad people". I was devastated to hear this, but it was the first indication that the authorities are trying not only to alienate me from my children but to also destroy my family.
- **34.** Unable to keep me in prison by dissuading my sureties from bailing me out or to have me committed by distorting the psychiatrists' judgements so that they would declare me insane and thus confine me to a psychiatric institution for an additional two months, which is what the Crown intended to (as revealed by the last paragraph of the Kingston Police Synopsis, where "an assessment order under section 672 of the Criminal Code is being requested" the authorities set out to find a new reason to imprison me. They were ordered to act because I continued my activism despite the harassment and the crippling bail conditions.
- **35.** The Crown enlisted the aid of Ray Dorey, the CAS worker, to do the dirty work. The authorities intercepted my electronic communication with my wife, which I had resumed in secret once she responded positively to my last offer of reconciliation exchanged through our respective family lawyers.

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/36/Bruce_Cook_forensic_report_2011_06_14.pdf.

Exhibit 18: "Dr. Beharry Report", 20 June 2011, also online at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/3a/Dr_Beharry_Report_2011-06-24.pdf.

²⁹ Exhibit 7 (Response from ECHR).

³⁰ Exhibit 17: "Bruce Cook Forensic Report", 14 June 2011, also online at:

³¹ Exhibit 1 (Crown Disclosure) on p. 3.

Mr. Dorey then contacted my wife and lied to her saying that I had informed him that I had recently communicated with her in contravention to the no-communication order and that she should therefor hand over my emails. To arouse her anger and to turn her against me, he also told her the preposterous and calculated lie that I had called the CAS office two years ago in order to ask them to investigate her for being a bad mother³². In anger, my wife handed over the four love letters I had sent her.

36. Ray Dorey then called to tell me that he had set up another unsupervised visit for the next day (July 14) and that I should come to Kingston to see my children at Rotary Park (since my release from prison I was forced to live with my sureties in Waterloo, five hours away from Kingston). At the park, my children were nowhere to be seen, but Ray Dorey and the police were there to arrest me. I was charged with breaching the no-communication order. Later I was also told by my co-opted criminal lawyer, David Sinnett, that my wife had betrayed me to the police. At that time, I did not know what had in fact transpired and was devastated by the news that my wife had betrayed me. I was so heartbroken that I had lost the will to live and began a hunger strike as soon as I was incarcerated at the Quinte Detention Centre. I wrote a farewell letter to my sons in case I would not see them again³³.

37. Evidence that my second arrest, like my first, was politically motivated came on Friday, July 15, when I appeared in court for the first bail hearing. A few minutes before the hearing I asked my lawyer, David Sinnett, to read a statement on my behalf. The statement said:

"Until such time as I am allowed to go home to my children, I will be on hunger strike. The charges against me are false. I have never posed a threat to my wife or children. My wife is the love of my life and I will give my life at any time for my children. The record shows that I have been the best of fathers and the best of husbands. My arrest and imprisonment are politically motivated and have nothing to do with protecting my family from me, but just the opposite. The government of Canada is using my children, misusing the system, and abusing the law to prevent me from exercising my constitutionally protected rights of free speech and freedom of conscience to stop me from exposing further violations of human rights and civil liberties by the Canadian government and its western allies in the name of countering radicalization. I am a political prisoner."

My lawyer not only refused to read my statement, he even refused to write it down for me so I could read it myself in court. More than this, when I asked the judge in court to make a statement, he immediately looked at my lawyer who said to the judge "I advised him against it." The judge gave a reluctant nod that I should proceed. However, I uttered but three words before he signaled to the police to stop me. As I was being grabbed and dragged out of court by five policemen I managed to say that the charges against me are false and politically motivated, that I am a political prisoner and that I will be on hunger strike until I am allowed to return home to my children.

The policemen, five in all, forced me to the ground just outside the courtroom door and still within the judge's view. Even though I was not resisting, they kicked, punched and kneed me on various parts of the body although I was immobilized on the floor with my face to the ground, was shackled and therefore unable to defend myself, and repeatedly said that I am complying and there is no need for violence. Nevertheless, they continued to brutalize me for nearly a minute, all the while saying "This is what you get for not keeping your mouth shut". They then handcuffed me and threw me in a holding cell in the filthy bowels of the Kingston courthouse³⁴.

_

³² My wife told me these crucial bits of information over the phone as soon as we were able to communicate with one another directly in April 2012 once I left the country and sought political asylum.

³³ Exhibit 11 (Letter to my Sons)

The main bullpen at the Kingston Courthouse has no running water and no air ventilation. This is no dereliction of duties on the part of the authorities, but an intentional act to deprive those in custody of the air and water necessary to make sound

A further clue of the corruption of the judiciary system came the day before when the constable who arrested me was emailing headquarters on the police computer asking for directions to avoid road construction. After he got the directions he needed he replied "I will give him the long tour of the city since he will not see the outside for a very long time." When I asked him how he knew this and if this is not for a judge to decide he embarrassedly shut down the computer and said nothing. I then knew that the court proceedings to follow were predetermined.

- **38.** Further evidence that my arrest was politically motivated and that the authorities will do anything to keep me in prison surfaced at the bail hearing on July 19. Judge Loraine Watson acted in total contempt of the law and of my rights when she refused to grant me bail because I might write another love letter to my wife and this, in her infinite wisdom, is a threat that she could not tolerate and that warrants my indefinite imprisonment³⁵. Although my sureties, Robin and Manuel Tomaz, respectable citizens and my friends for over 25 years, were prepared to pay \$10,000 if I breached bail conditions, Judge Watson refused to grant me bail despite the fact that I agreed to the onerous and highly suspect condition that I am to be prohibited from using the Internet until the trial day, which also meant the loss of my job as a writer since I must use the Internet daily in order to do my work. Throughout the proceedings, my lawyer pretended to defend me in good faith, but it was clear that he was there merely to play his part and ensure that I am cornered in prison. I describe his violations and the secret deal he made with the Crown prosecutors behind closed doors in some detail in a published article³⁶ and in greater detail in my affidavit for appeal.³⁷
- **39.** My lawyer's primary role was to "forget" to bring to court my second independent psychological report (by Dr. Beharry, PhD) which proved my mental health (and was identical in its findings to the first psychological report by Mr. Cook) and to fail to dispute the fabricated discharge summary the Hotel Dieu Hospital issued two months after my release from the psychiatric ward but, conveniently, just in time for the bail hearing³⁸. Unbeknown to me at the time of the bail hearing, my lawyer had agreed with the Crown prosecutors behind closed doors and without my knowledge or consent that I have "serious psyc issues" This was revealed to me at a later date when I was finally able to get my hands on the Crown Disclosure thanks to my civil lawyer, Glenroy Bastien, who ignored the Crown's demands that the material is not to be directly provided to me. The Crown Disclosure, it should be noted, was always withheld from me in contravention of the law and proper procedure and in order to keep me totally in the dark.
- **40.** Offended by the perversity of the hospital's Discharge Summary; by the distorted and paranoid language that it ascribed to me; by the fact that it contradicts what the doctor had told me upon my release from hospital; that I was released from hospital without diagnosis, prescriptions or a treatment plan; and that it is utterly at odds with the two independent psychological reports by Dr. Beharry and Mr. Cook, I

decisions and to rob them of the strength necessary to defend themselves. If the Kingston judges do not care enough to ensure that the defendants who are in their care have the basics of life, then what kind of justice can one expect from such people! But that is not all. The counsel boxes have inch-thick glass separating the accused from their lawyers, making it nearly impossible to carry on a basic conversation let alone discuss legal matters. There is also no privacy since there are two counsel boxes side by side with no separation between them but a half wall, which means that the accused and their lawyers cannot talk without being heard by others.

³⁵ Exhibit 2 (July 19 Bail Hearing).

³⁶ Article 14: "Response to David Sinnett", 23 October 2011, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a1/Response to David Sinnett.pdf.

³⁷ Exhibit 3 (Affidavit for Appeal), pp. 3-6.

³⁸ Exhibit 16: Discharge Summary by Hotel Dieu Hospital; also online at: https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:KG-Dieu Hospital Report.pdf.

³⁹ Article 14 on p. 3 of "Response to David Sinnett", also online at https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a1/Response to David Sinnett.pdf and also in Exhibit 1, on p. 42.

identified its fraudulence in a published article⁴⁰ and subsequently sued the hospital⁴¹, as a result of which the hospital withdrew its fabricated report. It should also be noted that the hospital's Discharge Summary, though ascribed to Dr. Scott was never signed by him or any other doctor for that matter.

41. Faced with the prospect of spending a year or more in prison⁴² waiting for a trial date (which is what my lawyer told me in order to do the government's bidding) and with losing custody of my children forever – for the longer I am apart from my children the less likely it is that a family court will grant me any kind of custody over my children – I had no choice but to plead guilty on August 9. And here is another twist that shows just how perverse the Kingston legal establishment is. And here is another twist that shows just how perverse the Kingston legal establishment is. On August 9, I was scheduled to appear in court and plead guilty. Prior to the court appearance, my lawyer came down to talk to me in the bullpen. He informed me that the Crown will agree to a sentence of 60 days (of which by that time I had already served 34 days) if I plead guilty to the lesser charge of recklessness instead of harassment, which was my initial charge, and to two breaches of the no communication order, disturbing the peace and theft of my wife's emails (i.e. the exonerating evidence the police had confiscated from me on threat of imprisonment). Although the only offence I had committed was breaching the no communication order, I had no choice but to agree to the Crown's terms. Despite the agreement, when the court clerk began reading the offences to which I was to plead guilty there was no mention of recklessness but of harassment. I paused before saying guilty and asked my lawyer, David Sinnett, what is going on. He said, "It's OK. Just say guilty." Reluctantly and with a bitter taste of disgust in my mouth, I did. It was either that or never see my children again. The presiding judge, Mrs. Judith Beaman, released me on time served. However, to ensure that the government's campaign to discredit my mental competence and by extension the validity of my publications continues unabated, the two psychological reports that found me to be perfectly healthy were ignored and the condition that I am to "actively participate in such assessment, treatment and counselling programmes as directed by your Probation Officer, including but not limited to mental health" was tacked on to my Probation Order. To ensure that I am kept on a short leash, I was also given a two-year probation period, which is conspicuously long for someone with no criminal record whatsoever. Most disturbingly, the condition that I am not to see my children even through the CAS until there is a family court order or an out-of-court agreement was also tacked on to my probation. This effectively cut me off from my children's lives indefinitely, even though I have always been their main caregiver; two independent medical reports prove my mental health and state that I am no threat to anyone least of all my wife and children; my wife never said that I am threat to my children and never opposed my right to see them. The government however continued its agenda since the only leverage over me is their power to hold my children hostage until I relent and stop my activism.

42. Through LinkedIn, I began an intense campaign of lobbying Amnesty International, the international media, the Muslim world, the legal community and NGOs to publish my findings and to activate civil society in my defense. My human rights work, in other words, intensified rather than abated. I also drafted a petition that was signed by dozens of individuals from across the world⁴³ and which I forwarded to the Attorney General of Ontario, Minster of Justice, and the Prime Minister of Canada, but to no avail.

⁴⁰ Article 13: "Doctors for Sale: How Canada Uses Psychiatry for Political Purposes", 5 September 2011, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/6/62/Doctors_for_Sale.pdf and at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79608148/Doctors-for-Sale. ⁴¹ Exhibit 4 (Statement of Claim)

⁴² The Quinte Detention Centre, where I was held, is a rundown and rusty facility where no rules are respected. The inmates get only 30 minutes of yard time per day instead of the one hour dictated by law. In reality, however, the inmates only get to go out of their dorms an average of 5 times a week because the guards and their supervisors are too lazy to let the inmates out when it rains or snows. Among the many awful things I have witnessed while held at Quinte, are the actions of a supervisor who came into the dorm to point an inmate to another inmate who was designated to assault and beat the first inmate with the permission of the supervisor because that inmate had apparently broken the arm of his daughter sometimes in the past.

⁴³ Exhibit 12 (International Petition)

43. On 22 September 2011, after months of repeated requests and an appeal to the Director, the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) finally responded to my request under the Freedom of Information Act that they release any and all files the agency has on me. Their response indicates that Canada's secret service is investigating me for "subversive or hostile activities" and that it therefore refuses to release any information⁴⁴. Although this confirmed to me that my electronic communication has been intercepted, as I have always suspected, it was a blow for I had hoped to prove that the emails I was convicted of destroying (i.e. my wife's emails, which exonerate me because they show I am neither crazy nor delusional and that I am the victim of a concerted attack meant to discredit me) had in fact been destroyed by the CSIS. On the night of May 13, when I accessed my wife's secret emails and tried to copy them, an external force prevented me from doing it and suddenly all emails disappeared from the inbox. No one but an intelligence agency which was already in control of my IPO could have done this because as soon as I accessed the account I changed its password and only reinstated the old password after I succeeded in copying the emails I could still access, namely the emails contained in the "sent" box, as all other emails had been erased by an invisible hand while I tried to copy them. For the Crown prosecutors to assert that I was the one who destroyed the emails is preposterous since I was the one trying to copy them and use them as evidence in my favour.

44. To force the CSIS to release my file, I made a request to the Information Commissioner's Office in November 2011, but I have yet to hear from the Information Commissioner.

45. On 18 October 2011, Bruce Cook, the psychologist in charge of my court-ordered psychological monitoring issued a progress report which like all previous reports states unequivocally that I do not suffer from any delusional or paranoid thinking⁴⁵. On 25 October 2011, Dr. Ross McIlquham, my family doctor and city coroner, abandoned the coalition of criminals that planned and executed my attack by saying that the entries in my record alleging depression and medication were a clerical mistake, and he promptly issued a truthful letter about my perfect mental health history⁴⁶. On 19 October 2011, psychotherapist Jan Dallugge issued his assessment and he too found me to be in perfect health⁴⁷. To once and for all silence the Crown's attempt to paint me as a mentally ill man, I have asked those who know me to write down what they think of my mental health and of the way I have been treated by the justice system⁴⁸. I then sent the almost 30 letters attesting my mental health to the police and Crown. Despite the 5 professional medical reports proving my mental health and 30 letters from individuals, the Crown continues to maintain that I am mentally ill, even though its sole basis for making such allegation (the fabricated Discharge Summary of Hotel Dieu Hospital), has been withdrawn from the public record.

46. On 19 October 2011, I started formal procedures in civil court against the Kingston Police and Hotel Dieu Hospital⁴⁹. On 26 October, I started formal procedures against my wife for defamation⁵⁰ after she refused to retract her defamatory statements⁵¹.

47. In retaliation, on 8 November 2011, the police arrested me as soon as I set foot in Kingston, at Family Court just 5 minutes prior to attending the first Case Conference. The time and place of the arrest indicate

⁴⁴ Exhibit 15 (CSIS Response, 22 September 2011). Also online: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/9/98/CSIS_Response_2011-09-22.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79617416/CSIS-Response-to-Kevin-Galalae.

⁴⁵ Exhibit 21: "Bruce Cook Progress Report", 18 October 2011, also online at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/9/9f/Bruce_Cook_Progress_Report_2011-10-18.pdf.

⁴⁶ Exhibit 20: "Dr. McIlquham Letter", 25 October 2012, also online at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/7/7c/Dr McIlguham Letter 2011-10-26.pdf.

⁴⁷ Exhibit 19: "Letter from Psychotherapist Jan Dallugge", 19 October 2011, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/1/1c/Letter from Psychotherapist Jan Dallugge.pdf.

⁴⁸ Folder 1 (Letters of mental health)

⁴⁹ Exhibit 4 (Statement of Claim against police and hospital)

⁵⁰ Exhibit 5 (Statement of Claim against Cynthia Anne Marshall)

⁵¹ Exhibit 6 (Demand Letter)

the government's intent to prevent me from advancing my claim for my children and thus indefinitely separate me from them. The charges against me reveal the level of desperation the Kingston authorities have reached in trying to prevent me from bringing them to justice for grand-conspiracy and for their politically-motivated attack on me. The frivolousness of the charges also reveals the lawlessness of the Canadian Government. I was charged with three counts of harassment (for suing the Kingston Police and Hotel Dieu Hospital, for suing my wife, and for the fact that my lawyer sent my wife a Demand Letter, which he is required by law before proceeding with a libel lawsuit) and two counts of stealing electronic data (the same data I had already been convicted of stealing and destroying, namely my wife's slanderous emails).

- **48**. Anticipating my arrest, I sent a letter to the Royal Canadian Mounted Police on 6 November 2011, presenting evidence of police, legal, hospital and CAS anomalies and requesting that the RCMP start an investigation into the conduct of the Kingston authorities and also requesting RCMP escort into the city of Kingston for my family court appearance scheduled for 8 November⁵². The RCMP refused both requests.
- **49.** This time I was granted bail but spent 6 days in detention, from November 6-12. During the bail proceedings the Crown prosecutors changed the two charges of "stealing electronic data" to "possession of stolen electronic data", when the judge expressed his dismay that the Crown intended to convict me a second and third time for the same offence I had already been convicted.
- **50.** While I was in custody, my friends and supporters wrote a synopsis of events and published it on Wikispooks on 19 November 2011⁵³.
- **51.** My new bail conditions dictate that I reside in Ayr (5 hours away from my home and family) with my new sureties, my lifelong friends, Manuel and Robin Tomaz, and that I am to use the Internet only in their presence. I abided by the Probation Order to a tee but continued my human rights work unabated since my new sureties, just like my old ones, are adamant supporters of my fight to restore democracy and the rule of law and have ensured that I get as many Internet hours as I needed.
- **52.** To prevent me from launching an appeal to my conviction, the Kingston Court refused to issue the court transcripts of my proceedings. It took three months and repeated requests to the Attorney General's Office and the Minister of Justice to force the Kingston Court to finally issue the court transcripts. When I finally had a chance to analyze them it became clear that Judge Loraine Watson had altered the court transcript of my bail hearing on July 19 in such a way as to create the impression that I had received a fair hearing. My affidavit of Appeal⁵⁴ describes how Judge Watson altered the transcript and why I am appealing the guilty plea of August 9. But since the Government has once again interfered with my lawyers, my civil lawyer, Glenroy Bastien, who was at first adamant that I file my appeal as fast as possible, has been stalling and has come to the conclusion that it would be dangerous for me to file the appeal. As a result, he refuses to file the appeal.
- **53.** My civil lawyer, Glenroy Bastien, undoubtedly due to heavy pressure from the Government, has also been stalling my lawsuits against the Kingston Police and Hotel Dieu Hospital and has decreased his

⁵² Article 15: "Letter to the RCMP", 6 November 2011, also online: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a5/Letter_to_RCMP.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79608673/Letter-to-the-RCMP

⁵³ Article 17: "Kevin Galalae: Synopsis of Events from June 2009 to November 2011", 19 November 2011, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/c/c4/Synopsis_of_Galalae_case.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79609983/Kevin-Galalae-Case-Synopsis-of-Events.

⁵⁴ Folder 2 (Affidavit of Appeal and 44 Exhibits)

response time to my requests and communications with him from the 48 hours promised in the contract to once every three weeks.

- **54.** On 18 December 2011, having obtained evidence that my medical report had been tampered with, I published an explosive article detailing the government's attack on me and the names of the politicians and civil servants involved in the grand-conspiracy⁵⁵. In December, I also gave an interview with Princeton TV that was aired in the US and is posted online⁵⁶. A second interview, scheduled for January 2012, was shut down by the US Government, undoubtedly on request from the British and Canadian governments. I published the evidence that the freedom of the press has been interfered with shortly thereafter.⁵⁷
- 55. Just before Christmas, I saw my criminal lawyer, John Olver, in his office. It was our first meeting and he was supposed to show me the Crown Disclosure for the newest charges. He pointed to two piles of documents on his desk, a thick one and a thin one, both of which were the Crown Disclosure, and said that he is only allowed to show me the thick pile with permission, which he does not have, and that he is not allowed, under any circumstances, to show me the thin pile. He then proceeded to confirm that I was right in all my assumptions about the nature and source of my attack; in other words, that I was indeed the victim of a government-sanctioned but locally orchestrated attack on me that is motivated solely by the political objective to silence me and to prevent me from ever again defending human rights and civil liberties. He then assured me that he will refuse to go to court without first filing a motion to obtain permission to show me the Crown Disclosure in full and to have my own copy. That was the first and last time I heard him say that. He never filed the motion. The government has shut him down too and he has become just a messenger for the Crown's demands.
- **56.** As it became increasingly clear that my civil lawsuits and appeal with Glenroy Bastien are going nowhere due to government interference; that my criminal lawyer, John Olver, was persuaded by the government not to file a motion to allow me access to the Crown Disclosure; and that justice is unattainable as long as Resolution 1624 (2005) is in place and the CTC and CTED have worldwide authority to delegate the fight against terrorism and radicalization and to silence the press, the courts and civil society, I created The People's Protection Court⁵⁸, on 30 December 2011, and sued Detective Dianne McCarthy and the Kingston Police for damages⁵⁹, on 6 January 2012.
- **57.** On 3 January 2012, I published the OM Principles⁶⁰, which contain the very ideas for which I was expelled from Oxford and Leicester universities in 2009, when my fight for justice, democracy, and human rights began.
- **58.** In mid-January, I published a circular with the assistance of SOS-Racism, a Swiss-German NGO, asking civil society to intervene on behalf of my children. ⁶¹

⁵⁵ Article 16: "A Conspiracy of Racists and Crown Loyalists: The Kingston Hillbillies", 18 December 2011, also online at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/76998053/A-Conspiracy-of-Racists-and-Crown-Loyalists-The-Kingston-Hillbillies and also at https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/24/The Kingston Hillbillies.pdf.

^{56 &}quot;Kevin Galalae's Interview with Adam Bierman of Princeton TV", December 2011, available at: http://vimeo.com/33346698.

⁵⁷ Article 21, "The American Media Gagged to Cover-up Canada's Crimes Against Kevin Galalae: Princeton TV Forced to Cancel Explosive Interview", 23 January 2012, also online at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/79614218/The-American-Media-Gagged-to-Cover-Up-Canada-s-Crimes-Against-Kevin-Galalae.

⁵⁸ The People's Protection Court, https://wikispooks.com/w/images/8/85/THE PEOPLE%27S PROTECTION COURT.pdf

⁵⁹ The People's Protection Court Notice of Trial, http://www.facebook.com/pages/Peoples-Protection-Court/162155327225656?sk=wall&filter=12

⁶⁰ OM Principles, http://www.scribd.com/doc/77073680/OM-our-mind-The-Voice-and-Will-of-the-99

⁶¹ Article 20: "CIRCULAR: Kevin Galalae Case for SOS-Rassismus", January 2012, also online at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/79613293/CIRCULAR-Kevin-Galalae-Case-for-SOS-Rassismus.

- **59.** On 22 January 2012, I sent an open letter to the Canadian Government in which I explain why I no longer recognize its legitimacy⁶².
- **60.** On 27 January 2012, my criminal lawyer, John Olver, sent me word that the Crown intends to stay the charges against me indefinitely and that I am free to go to family court to claim my children, but that unless I stop my activities with The People's Protection Court I will be rearrested and new charges will be brought against me. In my response to the Crown I made it clear that my constitutionally-protected rights to free speech and freedom of conscience are not for sale⁶³. Over the next few days, I published the Albanian, Italian, French and Russian translations of the OM Principles. The Crown's response was swift.
- **61.** At the beginning of February, the Crown issued an arrest warrant and the police was sent to apprehend me. Luckily, I was not home and on hearing the news I immediately made my way out of the city, drove east and a few days later crossed into the US by swimming over the St. Croix River in the dead of night. On my first attempt I nearly lost my life and froze to death when floating ice blocks tipped my makeshift flotation devise (an inflatable bed) and I fell into the river at -25 degrees Celsius, but I succeeded the next night. I was not about to subject myself to more kangaroo courts or to allow the criminal gang in charge of the country to take away my freedom so as to cover-up their constitutional crimes and gross legal violations.
- 62. Between March 1-15, I applied for political asylum to four countries: Argentina, Bolivia, Costa Rica and Venezuela. I chose these countries because I believe them to be outside the Anglo-American sphere of influence and therefore most likely to grant me political asylum. If none of them grants me political asylum, this will confirm that the global system of autocracy imposed on the world from the UN Security Council and delegated by the CTC and the CTED is now fully functional and that the world will descend into tyranny and eventually into worldwide civil war since there is no outlet left to violence perpetrated by state parties on individuals. Therefore, the people will have to take up arms to address criminal governance, fully corrupted legal systems and sham human rights and civil liberties.
- 63. On 15 March 2012, the five judges of The People's Protection Court issued their verdict⁶⁴ and found Detective McCarthy and the Kingston Police to have behaved like a criminal cartel and declared them guilty of the following crimes: false arrest; false imprisonment; harassment; malicious prosecution; theft (of private and intellectual property); corruption; grand conspiracy; cruel and unusual punishment, crimes against the family and the sacred love between fathers and sons; breach of the Mental Health Act; and violation of the defendant's rights pursuant to the following articles of the Canadian Charter: 2 (a) (b) & (c); 6 (1); 7; 8; 10 (a),(b) & (c); 11 (a),(d),(e),(g) & (h); 12; and 15 (1). The People's Protection Court ordered the defendants to pay \$4,000,000 in damages. The defendants have yet to abide by the Court's ruling and since the Court does not yet have an enforcement body it cannot collect the damages from the defendants. The Court did however sent the Government of Canada a strong message that it has failed in its duty to safeguard the justice system from decay, and is in fact responsible for eroding the separation between the three branches of government, and that it will consequently have to henceforth share legal authority with The People's Protection Court.

⁶³ Exhibit 13 (Counteroffer).

⁶² Article 22: "Letter to the Canadian Government", 22 January 2012, also online at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/79026491/Letter-to-the-Canadian-Government.

⁶⁴ Exhibit 9: "Ruling of The People's Protection Court", 15 March 2012, also online at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/86221127/Ruling-of-the-People-s-Protection-Court.

64. Once The People's Protection Court issued its verdict and exonerated me of all wrongdoing, I was free to resume communication with my wife who was very happy to do so. Being able to communicate with one another without the government standing between us to distort the message and turn us against one another has been very fruitful. We have been able to identify how the authorities manipulated us through calculated lies, withholding evidence and distorting our statements. We are now in the process of reuniting our family, but are being prevented by the government's charges against me and continued harassment.

5. Authorities responsible:

Identify the person(s) or authorities who you consider responsible for the facts alleged and provide any additional information as to why you consider the State responsible for the alleged violation(s).

Stephen Harper, Prime Minister of Canada Robert Douglas Nicholson, Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada

John Gerretsen, Attorney General of Ontario Peter Milliken, retired MP and former Speaker-of-the-House-of Commons

Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) Home Office of the UK

Stephen J. Tanner, Chief of the Kingston Police Detective Dianne McCarthy, Kingston Police Dr. Ross McIlquham, Kingston Police coroner

Judge Loraine Watson

Male judge who ordered the police that I be beaten in court in order to prevent me from making a statement (name unknown because the Kingston Court refuses to release the court transcript) B. McNaughton, Counsel for the Crown

The Canadian Government, in close collaboration with the British Government, has coordinated an extra-judicial attack on me under the cover of the criminal code of Canada. They have misused the institutions of state and abused the law to comply with the British Government's request that I be silenced. The clear violations of Canadian and international law, the disregard for due process, the falsification of my medical records, the use of secret evidence, the suppression of evidence, the confiscation of exonerating evidence on threat of imprisonment, the manufacturing of evidence, the refusal to issue court transcripts, the freezing of my civil lawsuits, the blocking of my appeal, the coopting of lawyers, the doctoring of court transcripts, the intimidation of sureties, the withholding of the Crown Disclosure, the denial of bail without grounds, the denial of a fair trial, my beating in court with the judge's approval, my prevention from speaking in my own defense, the repeated use of false arrests, false imprisonment, false charges and malicious prosecutions are all crimes that could not have occurred without having

been sanctioned by the highest level of government and centrally delegated by federal authorities.

Moreover, the Prime Minister of Canada and his Minister of Justice, as well as the Attorney General of Ontario and the RCMP chief, cannot claim to be unaware of my ordeal, for I have written to them on several occasions and have provided them with the facts and asked that they exercise their authority to ensure that justice is served and that they order an open and public investigation to punish those responsible. My appeals and the petitions signed by dozens of individuals were not only ignored, but were followed by more repression, more arrests and more false charges, proving that the very people in charge of the country are also in charge of the attack on me and of serious constitutional crimes.

By using the powers vested in them and their positions of influence, these individuals have coopted, implicated or deceived a number of public servants who either for personal benefit, racism or ignorance, have acted unconscionably, illegally and contrary to their professional standards. These individuals are:

Ray Dorey, CAS worker Dr. Duncan Scott, psychiatrist at Hotel Dieu Hospital Dr. David Murray, psychiatrist at Hotel Dieu Hospital David R. Sinnett, criminal lawyer Lanny S. Kamin, criminal and family lawyer

Since the attack on me involves both Canadian and British authorities, the cross-national responsibility for this intergovernmental violation of my fundamental rights lies with the Head of the State of Canada and the UK, which is one and the same person, namely the Queen of England.

The international responsibility, however, lies squarely on the shoulders of the Counter Terrorism Committee (CTC) and the Counter Terrorism Executive Directorate (CTED), the UN bodies entrusted with overseeing and coordinating covert programs and policies designed to combat terrorism and radicalization; programs like SAC and policies like CONTEST, which I exposed and for which I have suffered retaliatory actions that appear to have been tacitly and implicitly sanctioned by the CTC and the CTED. At the very least, these bodies have failed in their responsibility to ensure that the counter-radicalization agenda is accomplished without the abuse of human rights. To mask their failure and ensure the continuation of their mandates, the CTC and the CTED are turning a blind eye to the British-Canadian attack on me.

6. Human rights violated:

Indicate the rights that you consider have been violated.

Article 7

("No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

The conscious effort of the Canadian authorities to paint me as delusional and commit me to a mental institution despite their full knowledge that I am a perfectly healthy and normal person who is fully in control of his mental faculties and psychologically strong, represents inhuman and degrading treatment. The fact that the authorities did this in retaliation to my human rights efforts represents inhuman and degrading punishment. The fact that the authorities used my alleged mental problems to forcibly separate me from my children – who are the focus of my life, my source of strength and for whom I have taken centre stage in the global fight against autocracy – and to also falsely and maliciously insinuate that I pose a threat to them and to my wife is nothing short of psychological torture. The conscious and combined effort of the Kingston Police, Hotel Dieu Hospital, the local office of the Children's Aid Society, goaded on by political figures to support each other's lies about my mental health through falsifications of my medical record, the fabrication of a tailor-made hospital discharge, and the issuing of a false report about my relationship with my children and my competence as a father amount to a fullscale psychological assault and mental torture. Their intent was to undermine my mental wellbeing by plunging me into a surreal Kafkaesque nightmare until I break apart and they can then justify my imprisonment in a mental asylum. As Alexander Solzhenitsyn once noted: "The commitment of free-thinking people to psychiatric hospitals is spiritual murder, it is another version of the gas chamber, but even more cruel; the sufferings of those being killed are more painful and more prolonged."

Article 9

- ("1. Everyone has the right to liberty and security of person. No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest or detention. No one shall be deprived of his liberty except on such grounds and in accordance with such procedure as are established by law.
- 2. Anyone who is arrested shall be informed, at the time of arrest, of the reasons for his arrest and shall be promptly informed of any charges against him.
- 3. Anyone arrested or detained on a criminal charge shall be brought promptly before a judge or other officer authorized by law to exercise judicial power and shall be entitled to trial within a reasonable time or to release. It shall not be the general rule that persons awaiting trial shall be detained in custody, but release may be subject to guarantees to appear for trial, at any other stage of the judicial proceedings, and, should occasion arise, for execution of the judgement.
- 4. Anyone who is deprived of his liberty by arrest or detention shall be entitled to take proceedings before a court, in order that that court may decide without delay on the lawfulness of his detention and order his release if the detention is not lawful.
- 5. Anyone who has been the victim of unlawful arrest or detention shall have an enforceable right to compensation.")

My right to liberty has been violated on four different occasions and has resulted in my imprisonment for 3, 7, 26 and 6 days respectively. My arrests have been arbitrary and based on false charges for crimes I did not commit. My detention has been arbitrary and based on falsehoods and legal manipulations to hide the politically motivated attack on my freedom. The evidence against me was withheld and the charges against me changed at will and in mid proceedings all the while denying me access to the Crown Disclosure. Bail was denied me on four different occasions for the purpose of cornering me in prison to force me to plead guilty to crimes I did not commit. My criminal lawyer was coopted to ensure that I am deprived of the opportunity to appeal my denial of bail.

The loyal subjects of the Queen of England have abused the law and misused state institutions to deny me the right to liberty and security. First they have arrested me without cause and on false charges, then they have repeatedly denied me bail in order to corner me in prison, and have denied me the presumption of innocence because they were following a preconceived political agenda and at no time were acting in the interests of justice. Furthermore, they have denied me the right to liberty and security not because anyone's safety was threatened, but to satisfy racist motives and to defend illegal actions, policies and programs. My and my children's financial and emotional security has been destroyed. My liberty has also been indefinitely taken away from me by the government's actions to force me into prison and then exile.

Article 12

- ("1. Everyone lawfully within the territory of a State shall, within that territory, have the right to liberty of movement and freedom to choose his residence.
- 2. Everyone shall be free to leave any country, including his own.
- 3. The above-mentioned rights shall not be subject to any restrictions except those which are provided by law, are necessary to protect national security, public order (ordre public), public health or morals or the rights and freedoms of others, and are consistent with the other rights recognized in the present Covenant.
- 4. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of the right to enter his own country.")

The recognizance of bail conditions imposed on me upon my first release on bail — as all subsequent conditions — have nothing to do with the protection of my wife and children, as purported by the authorities, and everything to do with the government's intent to prevent me from engaging in further human rights activism by curtailing my freedom of movement through a midnight to 7 AM curfew and the condition that I reside with sureties. Moreover, my passport was confiscated to ensure that I do not return to Strasbourg, France, to continue my hunger strike or to put further pressure on the Council of Europe. Given the fact that I have no criminal record and had never been in trouble with the law, and also that my wife never said I posed a threat to her or the children and has always stated that I am an excellent father, the normal course of action would have been to release me on my own recognizance and with no limitations on my freedom of movement. Had my freedom of movement been curtailed to protect national security, the government would have arrested and charged me for endangering national security. Instead, the state's authorities have curtailed my freedom of movement under the pretext that they are defending my wife and children from the purported threat I pose to them; a threat that is wholly invented and unfounded.

Article 14

- ("1. All persons shall be equal before the courts and tribunals. In the determination of any criminal charge against him, or of his rights and obligations in a suit at law, everyone shall be entitled to a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal established by law. The press and the public may be excluded from all or part of a trial for reasons of morals, public order (ordre public) or national security in a democratic society, or when the interest of the private lives of the parties so requires, or to the extent strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice; but any judgement rendered in a criminal case or in a suit at law shall be made public except where the interest of juvenile persons otherwise requires or the proceedings concern matrimonial disputes or the guardianship of children.
- 2. Everyone charged with a criminal offence shall have the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law.

- 3. In the determination of any criminal charge against him, everyone shall be entitled to the following minimum guarantees, in full equality: (a) To be informed promptly and in detail in a language which he understands of the nature and cause of the charge against him;
- (b) To have adequate time and facilities for the preparation of his defence and to communicate with counsel of his own choosing;
- (c) To be tried without undue delay;
- (d) To be tried in his presence, and to defend himself in person or through legal assistance of his own choosing; to be informed, if he does not have legal assistance, of this right; and to have legal assistance assigned to him, in any case where the interests of justice so require, and without payment by him in any such case if he does not have sufficient means to pay for it;
- (e) To examine, or have examined, the witnesses against him and to obtain the attendance and examination of witnesses on his behalf under the same conditions as witnesses against him;
- (f) To have the free assistance of an interpreter if he cannot understand or speak the language used in court;
- (g) Not to be compelled to testify against himself or to confess guilt.
- 4. In the case of juvenile persons, the procedure shall be such as will take account of their age and the desirability of promoting their rehabilitation.
- 5. Everyone convicted of a crime shall have the right to his conviction and sentence being reviewed by a higher tribunal according to law.
- 6. When a person has by a final decision been convicted of a criminal offence and when subsequently his conviction has been reversed or he has been pardoned on the ground that a new or newly discovered fact shows conclusively that there has been a miscarriage of justice, the person who has suffered punishment as a result of such conviction shall be compensated according to law, unless it is proved that the non-disclosure of the unknown fact in time is wholly or partly attributable to him.
- 7. No one shall be liable to be tried or punished again for an offence for which he has already been finally convicted or acquitted in accordance with the law and penal procedure of each country.")

The adjudication of my case at the university and OIA levels has not been fair and has not been carried out within a reasonable time because the Government of the UK has hamstrung the independence and impartiality of both the university authorities and the OIA, by elevating the need to keep SAC secret and the prerogatives of CONTEST above human rights under the pretext of national security, and even though I have never presented a threat to Britain's national security but merely exercised my conscience and free speech in an academic environment where my thoughts, ideas and analyses were requested by the course tutors and elicited in written assignments and discussion forums. Furthermore, the Government of the UK has imposed a see no evil, hear no evil attitude on civil society and the legal system, so much so that any and all attempts I made to bring my case to a court of law have been blocked. Lawyers and law societies in Britain and Canada have not only refused to take my case, they have not even had the decency, or have been prevented, to acknowledge my emails. Even appeals for legal representation that I made on the Internet through websites like JustAnswer.com have been shut down by the Government of the UK in order to prevent me from challenging SAC and my mistreatment in a court of law.

My right to a fair trial has been violated and debased with even greater impunity by the Queen's loyal subjects in Canada where at no point in time was I presumed innocent before proven guilty in a court of law and where the criminal law has been used as a cover to commit personal vendettas and achieve the politically convenient goal of silencing me. Being denied access to a lawyer, corrupting my legal counsel, corrupting judges, withholding evidence, using secret evidence and secret depositions, doctoring court transcripts and medical records, refusing to issue court transcripts, failing to meet legal deadlines for issuing court transcripts, instructing public officials to commit perjury and to lie to me and my wife, beating me in court for attempting to state facts the government does not wish on record, preventing me from speaking

in court, using flawed legal justifications and manufactured evidence to deny me bail, confiscating exonerating evidence on threat of imprisonment, coercing my legal counsel to suppress said exonerating evidence from court proceedings, re-arresting me minutes before appearing in court, and intimidating and lying to sureties to convince them not to bail me out and act as sureties are all gross and criminal violations of the law and of due process committed in order to deny me a fair trial. All clauses of Article 14 have all been violated.

To add insult to injury, the European Court of Human Rights has violated my right to a fair trial by summarily and under political pressure dismissing my case. The ECHR has refused to acknowledge any violations of the Convention not because none were committed by the UK but because the Court itself is under the control of the UN Security Council, whose bodies entrusted with fighting terrorism and radicalization, the CTC and the CTED, do not want to admit that they are breaking the law and are making gross and unacceptable mistakes and abuses.

Article 17

- ("1. No one shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his privacy, family, or correspondence, nor to unlawful attacks on his honour and reputation.
- 2. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.")

The cyber-attacks I have suffered, the phishing software Britain's and Canada's intelligence agencies have installed in my computers, the interception of my electronic communication, telephone conversations and postal mail, represent clear and egregious violations of Article 17 that cannot be excused by the pretext that I ever posed a threat to Britain's or Canada's national security.

My and my children's right to respect for private and family life has been violated even more egregiously by the Queen's loyal subjects in Canada when the police separated me from my children arbitrarily and without cause and on false pretenses, robbed my children of their main caregiver and damaged their trust in their father, threw me out my own home, prohibited me from communicating with my wife and children, prevented me from taking my clothes and vital possessions while forcing me to live apart from my family, listening in on my electronic communication on pretext that I am being investigated for subversive activities against the state, and manipulating the indirect communication between me and my wife.

If my children (who are 7 and 2 years old) could speak for themselves this is what they would say:

"We want our daddy back. He was taken away from us by mean people who say that our daddy may harm us and our mommy and who tell us that our daddy is bad. That is not true. He is a good man and the best father. We love and trust our daddy. He is kind and loving and gentle and he is our main protector. We only feel safe when our daddy is home. Without our daddy our lives have become hard and we cry ourselves to sleep every night because he is not here to take care of us. We have no money, no car and no one to take care of us before and after school, no one to cook good meals for us, to take us to the park and movies, to play with us and tuck us in at night and tickle our backs until we fall asleep, as he always did. The police are not protecting us. They are not here for us. They have destroyed our family and have driven our daddy out of our lives."

Article 18

- ("1. Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion. This right shall include freedom to have or to adopt a religion or belief of his choice, and freedom, either individually or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in worship, observance, practice and teaching.
- 2. No one shall be subject to coercion which would impair his freedom to have or to adopt a religion or belief of his choice.
- 3. Freedom to manifest one's religion or beliefs may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary to protect public safety, order, health, or morals or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.
- 4. The States Parties to the present Covenant undertake to have respect for the liberty of parents and, when applicable, legal guardians to ensure the religious and moral education of their children in conformity with their own convictions.")

The SAC operatives' attempt to coerce and intimidate me to hold views that are contrary to my conscience and thoughts, and my expulsion when I refused to submit to manipulation and coercion, constitute a clear violation of my freedom of thought and conscience, especially since this occurred in an educational environment where the pursuit of truth and freedom of thought and conscience are sacrosanct and must be actively defended and promoted.

The Crown infringed my freedom of thought and conscience by ordering me to cease and desist any and all activities with The People's Protection Court and by its veiled threats, false charges and false arrests to intimidate and cripple me financially and emotionally so as to force me to stop my human rights activism.

Article 19

- ("1. Everyone shall have the right to hold opinions without interference.
- 2. Everyone shall have the right to freedom of expression; this right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of his choice.
- 3. The exercise of the rights provided for in paragraph 2 of this article carries with it special duties and responsibilities. It may therefore be subject to certain restrictions, but these shall only be such as are provided by law and are necessary:
- (a) For respect of the rights or reputations of others;
- (b) For the protection of national security or of public order (ordre public), or of public health or morals.")

Covertly embedding government operatives in university programs to masquerade as regular students while in fact performing surveillance and censorship functions on behalf of foreign and domestic secret service agencies and in line with CONTEST's directives to purge the academic environment of ideas and ideals that are deemed to threaten Britain's "shared values" and "community cohesion", constitutes a clear and gross violation of Article 10. The graduated attempt by Oxford and Leicester universities to coerce me to hold views that are antithetical to reason and to my own experience and values, and that are politically motivated and covertly enforced, followed by my expulsion from Leicester and Oxford on manufactured grounds, are the direct results of the UK Government's illegal and unethical SAC program. As a foreign citizen, participating in online studies in British universities from my own country, Canada, I can neither be expected to know nor to adhere to Britain's "shared values" and "community cohesion", especially since these requirements are imposed in secret, without disclosure, and without my knowledge. It is therefore not only absurd but also patently unfair to be expected to adhere to something that I have no knowledge of and without being explicitly told in advance that I must do so in order to study in a British university.

My right to freedom of expression was subsequently violated by Canada when bullying me with false arrests and false imprisonment to force me to abandon The People's Protection Court and the Principles of OM. It is no coincidence that the last arrest warrant was issued just one day after I published the Russian translation of the OM Principles. The current Canadian government, in its blind deference to the Crown, is trying everything in its power to prevent the dissemination of the OM Principles because they contain ideas and values that represent the sentiments of the great majority of the population and thus pose a great and present danger to the status quo. By interfering with my right to free speech the authorities are attempting to control the public debate to sustain non-democratic governments in power, governments that have no respect for our constitutionally-protected rights.

Article 21

("The right of peaceful assembly shall be recognized. No restrictions may be placed on the exercise of this right other than those imposed in conformity with the law and which are necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security or public safety, public order (ordre public), the protection of public health or morals or the protection of the rights and freedoms of others.")

In order to prevent me from protesting my mistreatment by Oxford and Leicester and the violation of my rights by SAC's operatives, both Oxford and Leicester refused to grant me the right to protest on their campuses. The Government of the UK has prevented the police constabularies of Oxford and Leicester from granting me the right to protest on public land. Canada's Governor General has denied me the right to protest on the official property, which is located on Canadian soil. Even the Council of Europe has denied me the right to protest on its land⁶⁵.

Article 22

- ("1. Everyone shall have the right to freedom of association with others, including the right to form and join trade unions for the protection of his interests.
- 2. No restrictions may be placed on the exercise of this right other than those which are prescribed by law and which are necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security or public safety, public order (ordre public), the protection of public health or morals or the protection of the rights and freedoms of others. This article shall not prevent the imposition of lawful restrictions on members of the armed forces and of the police in their exercise of this right.
- 3. Nothing in this article shall authorize States Parties to the International Labour Organisation Convention of 1948 concerning Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organize to take legislative measures which would prejudice, or to apply the law in such a manner as to prejudice, the guarantees provided for in that Convention.")

Part and parcel of the right to freedom of association is the right to impart opinions publicly and to be heard and published by the media in the interests of truth and democratic debate. My right to associate with the public at large through the exercise of the freedom of the press has been violated by the governments of Canada and the UK. Here is substantiating evidence not included in the main text:

1. "Andrew Vallance on Surveillance and Censorship in universities"; available online at:

^{65 &}quot;Council of Europe on the wrong side of the law", 23-29 March 2011: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/d/d6/Council_of_Europe_on_the_wrong_side_of_the_law.pdf

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/38/Andrew_Vallance_on_surveillance_and_censorship_in_British_universities.pdf

- 2. Exhibit 26: "Claude Scilley of the Whig Standard refuses to publish SAC"; also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a1/Claude_Scilley_of_The_Whig_Standard_refuses_to_publish_SAC.pdf
- 3. Article 21: "The American media gagged to cover-up Canada's crimes against Kevin Galalae"

http://www.scribd.com/doc/79614218/The-American-Media-Gagged-to-Cover-Up-Canada-s-Crimes-Against-Kevin-Galalae

Article 23

- ("1. The family is the natural and fundamental group unit of society and is entitled to protection by society and the State.
- 2. The right of men and women of marriageable age to marry and to found a family shall be recognized.
- 3. No marriage shall be entered into without the free and full consent of the intending spouses.
- 4. States Parties to the present Covenant shall take appropriate steps to ensure equality of rights and responsibilities of spouses as to marriage, during marriage and at its dissolution. In the case of dissolution, provision shall be made for the necessary protection of any children.")

In its perverse attempt to hide immoral and illegal methods and programs of surveillance, censorship and control, the governments of Canada and the UK have tramped over and destroyed my family. They have achieved this by blocking all communication channels between me and my wife and by forcibly separating me from my children. It has then robbed me of the right to self-representation so I cannot meet my wife in family court or come to an out-of-court agreement. It has even arrested me at family court five minutes prior to attending the first case conference to ensure that my wife and I make no progress at reconciliation and that the government continues to hold my children hostage until I obey the dictates of the police state that Canada has become.

Article 24

- ("1. Every child shall have, without any discrimination as to race, colour, sex, language, religion, national or social origin, property or birth, the right to such measures of protection as are required by his status as a minor, on the part of his family, society and the State.
- 2. Every child shall be registered immediately after birth and shall have a name.
- 3. Every child has the right to acquire a nationality.")

By using my children as pawns and leverage to force me to abandon my principles and stop my human rights activism, the governments of Canada and Britain have trampled on my children's right to measures of protection. More than this, the laws set in place to protect children were in fact twisted 180 degrees to accomplish the opposite goal, namely maximum harm to my children as punishment for my whistleblowing and political activism.

The callous disregard for my children's wellbeing the governments of Canada and Britain have shown is having dire repercussions for my children. Let me now list the consequences of the actions of those who are purportedly protecting my children:

- 1. My children have been without their main caregiver and the person they love most, namely their father, for one year, when I was first arrested.
- 2. Ben is as a result suffering from anger and desperation and is being medicated and subjected to immoral social workers like Ray Dorey of the CAS who is intent on alienating my children from me in order to do the government's bidding and who is telling my son that his father is a bad man.
- 3. My wife drives in an uninsured car because I have no way of telling her due to the nocommunication order – that I can no longer afford to insure her car. My children's lives are therefore at risk.
- 4. My family having been destroyed by racists who dislike my political activity, my children are now suffering economic hardship because they have been deprived of a second salary, mine, which now goes entirely towards overpaid lawyers and corrupt legal processes that benefit only the overfed and parasitical legal class.
- 5. My children cannot go on holidays abroad because I cannot give my permission to take them out of the country, this being impossible because of the no-communication order and the condition that I have to use a family lawyer and cannot represent myself, both being conditions the government needs in order to hide the secret evidence contained in the Crown disclosure and that I am not allowed to see because I would have the evidence I need to prove that the attack on me has from the beginning been politically motivated and has nothing to do with my conduct, which was always beyond reproach.
- 6. Oliver, who has never spent a day in a daycare center because I raised him lovingly at home, is now institutionalized because my wife is incapable and unwilling to dedicate her time to keep him at home. Her objective is to walk away with my house and belongings and could not care less about the suffering she imposes on her children. As for the Government of Canada and its institutions, their objective is to destroy me and is purposely using my children as hostages to achieve their goals.
- 7. Ben, who has never spent a day in a daycare because I raised him lovingly at home, is now stuck in before- and after-school programs.
- 8. I have been bankrupted by the Crown's malicious and politically motivated charges and am therefore unable to give my children a penny or pay my own bills for that matter.
- 9. I have lost two employment contracts with Asia and therefore my livelihood because of the Crown's malicious charges and unwarranted imprisonment as well as their unconstitutional denial of my bail. This means indefinite hardship for my children because it will take me a decade to recover financially from the criminal attack I have been subjected to by racists and Crown loyalists and by a Canadian Government that now operates fully outside the law. This means that my children will grow up in poverty.

- 10. My children have been scarred for life because they are being told by the immoral people who surround them and by my ill wife that I have abandoned them when the reality is that their perverted actions have forcibly separated me from my children and that the Government of Canada is using and abusing every institution of state to keep me separated from my children in the hope that I will abandon my rights to free speech and freedom of conscience and that I acquiesce to the methods and policies of the police state imposed on us.
- 11. My children are fully at the mercy of my wife, who is mentally and emotionally ill, and who is incapable of making rational decisions or of giving my children the love they need when she enters her hypomanic episodes. My children are being emotionally damaged every day I am not by their side to protect them from their ill mother. Given my wife's condition at times, my children's very lives are in danger and it is only a matter of time until my wife will direct her irrational and demonic anger and language towards the children.
- 12. To hide my wife's mental and emotional illness, and in utter disregard for my children's well-being and safety, the Kingston authorities continue to hide my wife's medical record because she has a long history of mental and emotional illness; a history that goes all the way to her childhood and involves obsessive compulsive behaviour, psychosomatic illnesses, anorexia, suicide attempts, postpartum depression, bipolar disorder, anti-social behaviour, and hypomanic episodes. I have been the only one protecting Ben and Oliver from their mother's many illnesses and now they are fully at her mercy. The fact that she keeps my children apart from me without any reason whatsoever other than her racism shows just how unimportant her children are for her.

Article 26

("All persons are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to the equal protection of the law. In this respect, the law shall prohibit any discrimination and guarantee to all persons equal and effective protection against discrimination on any ground such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status.")

The political discrimination that animated the Queen's loyal subjects in Britain to expel me from universities also animated the Queen's loyal subjects in Canada to charge and imprison me falsely, to convict me without due process, to deny me a fair trial and to fabricate evidence, to alter medical records, lie on record, doctor court transcripts and violate every right I have. Not only was I denied the equal protection of the law; the law has been misused with the intent to cause me harm.

Further to the above violations of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Canada has also grossly violated the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

Article 2

- ("1. States Parties shall respect and ensure the rights set forth in the present Convention to each child within their jurisdiction without discrimination of any kind, irrespective of the child's or his or her parent's or legal guardian's race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national, ethnic or social origin, property, disability, birth or other status.
- 2. States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to ensure that the child is protected against all forms of discrimination or punishment on the basis of the status, activities, expressed opinions, or beliefs of the child's parents, legal guardians, or family members.")

My children are being used as pawns and are being held hostage by the government of Canada to punish me for my human rights activism because my political opinions clash with the current geopolitical agenda and are as a result being met with discrimination. The government of Canada has not only failed to ensure that my children are protected against discrimination "irrespective of [the] parent's political or other opinion"; it is actually responsible for making my children collateral damage in its political vendetta against me.

Article 3

- ("1. In all actions concerning children, whether undertaken by public or private social welfare institutions, courts of law, administrative authorities or legislative bodies, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration.
- 2. States Parties undertake to ensure the child such protection and care as is necessary for his or her well-being, taking into account the rights and duties of his or her parents, legal guardians, or other individuals legally responsible for him or her, and, to this end, shall take all appropriate legislative and administrative measures.
- 3. States Parties shall ensure that the institutions, services and facilities responsible for the care or protection of children shall conform with the standards established by competent authorities, particularly in the areas of safety, health, in the number and suitability of their staff, as well as competent supervision.")

My children's best interests have never been a consideration for the Canadian government, which has shamefully used the institutions of state not to protect my children's safety and wellbeing but to undermine my ability to fulfill my duties as father and main caregiver for my two children.

Article 9

- ("1. States Parties shall ensure that a child shall not be separated from his or her parents against their will, except when competent authorities subject to judicial review determine, in accordance with applicable law and procedures, that such separation is necessary for the best interests of the child. Such determination may be necessary in a particular case such as one involving abuse or neglect of the child by the parents, or one where the parents are living separately and a decision must be made as to the child's place of residence.
- 2. In any proceedings pursuant to paragraph 1 of the present article, all interested parties shall be given an opportunity to participate in the proceedings and make their views known.
- 3. States Parties shall respect the right of the child who is separated from one or both parents to maintain personal relations and direct contact with both parents on a regular basis, except if it is contrary to the child's best interests.
- 4. Where such separation results from any action initiated by a State Party, such as the detention, imprisonment, exile, deportation or death (including death arising from any cause while the person is in the custody of the State) of one or both parents or of the child, that State Party shall, upon request, provide the parents, the child or, if appropriate, another member of the family with the essential information concerning the whereabouts of the absent member(s) of the family unless the provision of the information would be detrimental to the well-being of the child. States Parties shall further ensure that the submission of such a request shall of itself entail no adverse consequences for the person(s) concerned.")

My children love me dearly and would have never agreed to being separated from me even for a day let alone indefinitely. By being deprived of their father's love and care, my children have

been harmed emotionally, psychologically and economically. As their primary caregiver the authorities had a duty to ensure that the children remain in my care. Instead, the Canadian authorities have broken the spirit and the letter of the law to ensure that my children and I cannot maintain personal relations and direct contact, let alone that they remain in my care. To achieve this the government of Canada has corrupted the assessment process of the Children's Aid Society by directing them to hide the video evidence of my supervised visits with my children and then hiring an immoral social worker by the name of Ray Dorey to issue a false report. To get away with it the authorities have then ignored the accounts and assessments of dozens of people who know me intimately and who have written truthful and accurate descriptions of my fatherhood skills and of my love and devotion to my children.

Substantiating evidence not included in the main text:

- 1. Exhibit 25 (CAS response)
- 2. Folder 1 (Letters of support)
- 3. Exhibit 27 (Ray Dorey voice recording)

Article 12

- ("1. States Parties shall assure to the child who is capable of forming his or her own views the right to express those views freely in all matters affecting the child, the views of the child being given due weight in accordance with the age and maturity of the child.
- 2. For this purpose, the child shall in particular be provided the opportunity to be heard in any judicial and administrative proceedings affecting the child, either directly, or through a representative or an appropriate body, in a manner consistent with the procedural rules of national law.")

My oldest son, Ben, who is 7-years-old, is perfectly capable of expressing his views and of telling the authorities how much he loves and needs his father. His opinion, however, was never asked for and when freely given it was duly ignored because it did not coincide with the government's agenda.

Article 16

- ("1. No child shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his or her privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to unlawful attacks on his or her honour and reputation.
- 2. The child has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.")

The government of Canada has not only arbitrarily and unlawfully interfered in my children's lives and family by arresting me and forcibly separating us, it has done so by using false pretences. It has then attacked and ruined my reputation and livelihood therefore destroying the sense of security and the economic viability of our family.

Article 37

("States Parties shall ensure that:

(a) No child shall be subjected to torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. Neither capital punishment nor life imprisonment without possibility of release shall be imposed for offences committed by persons below eighteen years of age;

- (b) No child shall be deprived of his or her liberty unlawfully or arbitrarily. The arrest, detention or imprisonment of a child shall be in conformity with the law and shall be used only as a measure of last resort and for the shortest appropriate period of time;
- (c) Every child deprived of liberty shall be treated with humanity and respect for the inherent dignity of the human person, and in a manner which takes into account the needs of persons of his or her age. In particular, every child deprived of liberty shall be separated from adults unless it is considered in the child's best interest not to do so and shall have the right to maintain contact with his or her family through correspondence and visits, save in exceptional circumstances;
- (d) Every child deprived of his or her liberty shall have the right to prompt access to legal and other appropriate assistance, as well as the right to challenge the legality of the deprivation of his or her liberty before a court or other competent, independent and impartial authority, and to a prompt decision on any such action.")

In being deprived of their father and main caregiver for political reasons, my children have been subjected to inhuman and inhuman treatment. They are suffering unspeakable emotional hardship because they are being told that I have abandoned them and that I am a bad man.

7. Legal remedies pursued to resolve the facts alleged

Describe the actions pursued by the alleged victim or the petitioner before the judicial bodies. Explain any other remedy pursued before domestic authorities, including administrative agencies, if any.

- 1. In the initial stages of my ordeal, I appealed for justice to the European Community and the United Nations. My letter to the European Commissioner for Education, Androulla Vassiliou, was answered by none other than Mr. Martin Schieffer, the Acting Head of Unit F1 (Fight against Terrorism), of the Directorate-General for Home Affairs, who confidently informed me that the violations of fundamental rights I allege have no link to European Union law and that the EC has therefore no power to intervene and that I should seek redress at the national level through the competent authorities, including the courts. See File 12 in "Supporting files 1-16 to Kevin Galalae's response to OIA preliminary decision on Leicester University complaint"; available only online at: https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Supporting_files_1-
 - 16_to_Kevin_Galalae%E2%80%99s_response_to_OIA_preliminary_decision_on_Leices ter_University_complaint.zip
- 2. I then turned to Dr. Martin Scheinin, the UN's Special Rapporteur on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights while Countering Terrorism, who never even bothered to reply to my repeated entreaties, let alone do anything about it.
- 3. On 1 March 2011, I filed an application against the UK with the European Court of Human Rights. See Exhibit 24: "Kevin Galalae vs. The United Kingdon, Application no. 13386/11, European Court of Human Rights", 1 March 2011, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/1/19/Kevin_Galalae_vs._the_United_Kingdom%2C_E uropean_Court_of_Human_Rights.pdf and at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63283048/Kevin-Galalae-vs-the-United-Kingdom-at-the-European-Court-of-Human-Rights.

- My application was rejected: see Exhibit 7 (Response from ECHR).
- 4. Lodged complaint against my criminal lawyer, David Sinnett, with The Law Society of Upper Canada (LSUC File No. 2011-102394). See Article 14: "Response to David Sinnett", 23 October 2011, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a1/Response_to_David_Sinnett.pdf. The Law Society's investigation has been frozen by the government of Canada.
- 5. On 19 October 2011, I filed a civil lawsuit against the Kingston Police and Hotel Dieu Hospital (Court File No. CV-11-437733). See Exhibit 4 (Statement of Claim). The lawsuit has been frozen by the Canadian Government.
- 6. On 6 November 2011, I appealed to the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), presenting evidence of police, legal, hospital and CAS anomalies and requesting that the RCMP start an investigation into the conduct of the Kingston authorities. See Article 15: "Letter to the RCMP", 6 November 2011, also online at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a5/Letter_to_RCMP.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79608673/Letter-to-the-RCMP.

 The RCMP refused to take action.
- 7. In November 2011, I appealed to the Information Commissioner of Canada to compel the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) to release my file. To date, there has been no response from the Commissioner. He too has been shut down by the government.
- 8. Between September & December 2011, I sent several appeals to Canada's Minister of Justice, the Attorney General of Ontario and the Prime Minister of Canada. They have either failed to respond or failed to act. See Folder 2 (Exhibit 9: First appeal to Canada's Minister of Justice, the Honourable Robert Nicholson; Exhibit 10: International petition in support of my case signed by dozens of individuals; Exhibit 11: Second appeal to Canada's Minister of Justice, the Honourable Robert Nicholson; Exhibit 12: Response from Canada's Minister of Justice, the Honourable Robert Nicholson; Exhibit 13: First appeal to the Attorney General of Ontario, the Honourable John Gerretsen, dated 15 October 2011; Exhibit 14: Second appeal to the Attorney General of Ontario, the Honourable John Gerretsen, dated 24 November 2011)
- 9. In August 2011, shortly after my release from prison, I requested court transcripts to appeal my conviction. The transcripts were delayed for 3 months and my lawyer was influenced by the government not to file my appeal and to instruct me instead that it is "too dangerous" for me to file an appeal; which is a veiled threat sent by the government to intimidate me. To date, my appeal has not been filed because my lawyer, Glenroy Bastien, continues to delay and refuses to file. See Folder 2 (Affidavit for Appeal and 44 Exhibits).
- 10. In January 2012, I appealed to the UN Commissioner for Human Rights, who failed to even acknowledge let alone do anything about my appeal.

11. Unable to get justice through the courts or the state's administrative agencies, I turned my case over to The People's Protection Court. In February I presented my evidence and on 15 March 2012, The People's Protection Court issued its ruling. (See Exhibit 9: "Ruling of The People's Protection Court", 15 March 2012, also online at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/86221127/Ruling-of-the-People-s-Protection-Court)

8. Evidence

List or indicate the evidence that is the basis of your petition, and, if possible, identify which evidence you are attaching or sending with your petition:

Articles and Exhibits:

Article 1:

"Covert Censorship at Oxford and Leicester University: CONTEST and State-Sponsored Discrimination", April 2010, available at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/0/06/Covert_Censorship_at_Oxford_and_Leicester_University .pdf also published in the Romanian newspaper "*Flacăra lui Adrian Păunescu*" April and May 2010 issues. Available also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63281249/Covert-Censorship-at-Oxford-and-Leicester-University.

Article 2:

"The Great Secret: Surveillance and Censorship in Britain and the EU", 25 October 2010, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4d/The_Great_Secret.pdf and at http://www.scribd.com/doc/46050686/The-Great-Secret-Surveillance-and-Censorship-in-Britain-and-the-EU.

Article 3:

"Hands Off Our Children", 12 April 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4a/Hunger_strike_handout.pdf.

Article 4:

"Hunger Strike Appeal Letter to Mr. Hammarberg, Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights", 19 April 2011, available at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/2f/Kevin_Galalae%27s_hunger_strike_appeal_letter.pdf and at http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae.htm and also at

http://www.scribd.com/doc/64401066/Hunger-Strike-Week-One-Dear-Mr-Hammarberg.

Article 5:

"Message from The Man Outside at 14 Days of Hunger Strike", 25 April 2001, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/31/Message_from_the_man_outside_after_14_days_of_hunger_strike.pdf and at Cryptome as view only. Google: "Cryptome, Galalae, Freedom in Education 2". Available also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/64401413/HUNGER-STRIKE-WEEK-TWO-Letter-From-the-Man-Outside.

Article 6:

"Educating the "Educated": Message From The Man Outside at 21 Days of Hunger Strike", 2 May 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/f/f8/Educating_the_educated.pdf and at http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae3.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/64401671/Hunger-Strike-Week-Three-Educating-the-Educated.

Article 7:

"Is Commissioner Hammarberg Protecting the Emir of Qatar? Is the Council of Europe Subservient to British Interests?", 9 May 2011, available at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/28/Is_Commissioner_Hammarberg_Protecting_the_Emir_of _Qatar.pdf and at http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae5.pdf.

Article 8:

"The People's Declaration on Restoring the Powers of the European Court of Human Rights", 9 May 2011, available at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/e/e0/THE_PEOPLE%27S_DECLARATION.pdf and at http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae6.pdf.

Article 9:

"Appeal to Reason: Letter to President Obama, Président Sarkozy, Bundeskanzlerin Merkel and Prime Minister Cameron", 9 May 2011, available at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/5/5e/APPEAL_TO_REASON.pdf and at

http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae4.pdf and also at

http://www.scribd.com/doc/63470012/APPEAL-TO-REASON-Letter-to-President-Obama-number of the control of the co

President-Sarkozy-Bundeskanzlerin-Merkel-and-Prime-Minister-Cameron.

Article 10:

"The Man: Turning the Tide", 1 July 2011, available at: http://cryptome.org/0004/turn-tide.pdf and at https://wikispooks.com/w/images/b/b9/Turning_the_Tide.pdf as well as at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63280448/Turning-the-Tide.

Article 11:

"The Man Inside: Incipient Totalitarianism in the Western World", 20 June 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE_MAN_INSIDE._INCIPIENT_TOTALITARIANIS M_IN_THE_WESTERN_WORLD.pdf and at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63469084/The-Man-Inside-Incipient-Totalitarianism-in-the-Western-World and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63469084/The-Man-Inside-Incipient-Totalitarianism-in-the-Western-World.

Article 12:

"Canada's Political Prisoner: How Canada Uses Children, Destroys Families and Abuses the Criminal Law to Silence Activists", 5 September 2011, available at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/c/c8/Canada%27s_Political_Prisoner.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79607560/Canada-s-Political-Prisoner.

Article 13:

"Doctors for Sale: How Canada Uses Psychiatry for Political Purposes", 5 September 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/6/62/Doctors_for_Sale.pdf and at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79608148/Doctors-for-Sale.

Article 14:

"Response to David Sinnett", 23 October 2011, at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a1/Response_to_David_Sinnett.pdf.

Article 15:

"Letter to the RCMP", 6 November 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a5/Letter_to_RCMP.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79608673/Letter-to-the-RCMP.

Article 16:

"A Conspiracy of Racists and Crown Loyalists: The Kingston Hillbillies", 18 December 2011, available at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/76998053/A-Conspiracy-of-Racists-and-Crown-Loyalists-The-Kingston-Hillbillies and also at https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/24/The_Kingston_Hillbillies.pdf.

Article 17:

"Kevin Galalae: Synopsis of Events from June 2009 to November 2011", 19 November 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/c/c4/Synopsis_of_Galalae_case.pdf and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79609983/Kevin-Galalae-Case-Synopsis-of-Events.

Article 18:

"Synopsis of Kevin Galalae's Fate after the Hunger Strike", written by friends and supporters on 25 November 2012, available at: https://wikispooks.com/wiki/Document:Kevin_Galalae_-_Post_Hunger-strike_synopsis and also at http://www.scribd.com/doc/79611741/Synopsis-of-Kevin-Galalae-s-Fate-After-the-Hunger-Strike.

Article 19:

"CIRCULAR: Canada and Britain Commit Hate Crime", January 2012, available at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/79105971/Canada-and-Britain-Commit-Hate-Crime.

Article 20:

"CIRCULAR: Kevin Galalae Case for SOS-Rassismus", January 2012, available at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/79613293/CIRCULAR-Kevin-Galalae-Case-for-SOS-Rassismus.

Article 21:

"The American Media Gagged to Cover-up Canada's Crimes Against Kevin Galalae: Princeton TV Forced to Cancel Explosive Interview", 23 January 2012, available at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/79614218/The-American-Media-Gagged-to-Cover-Up-Canada-s-Crimes-Against-Kevin-Galalae.

Article 22:

"Letter to the Canadian Government", 22 January 2012, available at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/79026491/Letter-to-the-Canadian-Government.

Article 23:

Kevin Galalae Nomination for the Confucius Peace Prize, 4 July 2011, available at:

http://www.scribd.com/doc/63282090/Kevin-Galalae-Nomination-for-the-Confucius-Peace-Prize and at

http://wikispooks.com/w/images/f/f0/Kevin_Galalae_Nomination_for_the_Confucius_Peace_Prize.pdf.

Article 24:

"Kevin Galalae vs. The United Kingdom, Application no. 13386/11, European Court of Human Rights", 1 March 2011, also online at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/1/19/Kevin_Galalae_vs._the_United_Kingdom%2C_European_Court_of_Human_Rights.pdf and at http://www.scribd.com/doc/63283048/Kevin-Galalae-vs-the-United-Kingdom-at-the-European-Court-of-Human-Rights.

"Kevin Galalae's Interview with Adam Bierman of Princeton TV", December 2011, available only online at: http://vimeo.com/33346698.

Exhibit 1 (Crown Disclosure)

Exhibit 2 (July 19, Bail Hearing)

Exhibit 3 (affidavit for appeal)

Exhibit 4 (Statement of Claim against police and hospital)

Exhibit 5 (Statement of Claim against Cindy Marshall)

Exhibit 6 (Demand Letter)

Exhibit 7 (Response from ECHR)

Exhibit 8 (guilty plea, August 9)

Exhibit 9 (Ruling of The People's Protection Court)

Exhibit 10 (Clinical Analysis of Cindy's Condition)

Exhibit 11 (Letter to my Sons)

Exhibit 12 (Petition in Support of Kevin Galalae)

```
Exhibit 13 (Counteroffer)
Exhibit 14 (Recognizance of Bail)
Exhibit 15 (CSIS Response)
Exhibit 16 (Hospital Discharge Summary)
Exhibit 17 (Bruce Cook Forensic Report)
Exhibit 18 (Dr. Beharry Report)
Exhibit 19 (Letter from Psychotherapist Jan Dallugee)
Exhibit 20 (Dr. McIlquham letter)
Exhibit 21 (Bruce Cook Progress Report)
Exhibit 22 (OIA final decision on complaint against Leicester University)
Exhibit 23 (OIA decision on complaint against Oxford University)
Exhibit 24 (Kevin Galalae vs. The United Kingdom)
Exhibit 25 (CAS Response)
Exhibit 26 (Claude Scilley of the Whig Standard refuses to publish SAC); also available online
at:https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a1/Claude_Scilley_of_The_Whig_Standard_refuses_to_p
ublish SAC.pdf
Exhibit 27 (Ray Dorey voice recording)
Folder 1 (letters of support)
Folder 2 affidavit for appeal & 44 exhibits)
Voice Message 1
Voice Message 2
Voice Message 3
```

9. Witnesses:

Ishin Kaya Nursen Kaya Manuel Tomaz Robin Tomaz

The witnesses were not given the opportunity to give statements to the judicial authorities. Given the level of state lawlessness and judicial corruption it is absolutely necessary to keep the identity of these individuals strictly confidential. They have already been subjected to intimidation and threats.

10. Other complaints lodged:

On 2 May 2012, I lodged a complaint with the European Court of Human Rights against the UK. On 4 May 2012, I lodged a complaint with the Inter-American Commission for Human Rights against Canada.

My case deserves simultaneous examination by multiple courts because it is multi-jurisdictional and no single judicial authority can cover such broad spectrum of violations and jurisdictions. It should therefore not be deemed inadmissible because I am concurrently pursuing other options. The rationale is as follows:

- 1. The European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) can only consider complaints against countries under its jurisdiction. Although Canada shares the same Head of State as the UK, namely the Queen of England, it is possible that the ECHR will reject my application by stating that it has no jurisdiction over Canada, where the most violations against me have occurred. The HRC, however, has jurisdiction over both Canada and the UK, since both are signatories of the ICCPR and the OP-ICCPR.
- 2. By the same token, the Inter-American Committee on Human Rights (IACHR) where I have lodged a complaint against Canada has jurisdiction over Canada but not the UK. Moreover, while Canada is a signatory of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man, it has not ratified the American Convention on Human Rights, as such the IACHR has limited jurisdiction over Canada.
- 3. The UN Covenant provides greater protection in some respects than is available under the international instruments of the ECHR and the IACHR. Therefore, the fact that I have submitted applications to these two international mechanisms should not give the HRC reason to dismiss my complaint for duplication.
- 4. Given the ECHR's rejection of my previous application against the UK, and its procedural violations in order to reject my case, it is highly unlikely that its judges will be allowed by the UN Security Council to consider my case. All evidence indicates that the

HRC is the only judicial body with the power to analyse matters that touch upon violations committed by UN-delegated covert programs of counter-terrorism and counter-radicalization. As such my applications to the ECHR and the IACHR are probably futile and were meant solely to show that I have tried and exhausted all other remedies before applying to the HRC.

- 5. Another reason for applying to the HRC before having received negative answers or satisfaction from the ECHR and the IACHR is that I do not wish to miss the legally mandated deadline for submissions. Only a concurrent examination will allow me to stay within this time constraint.
- 6. A final reason for appealing to the HRC despite having also applied to the ECHR and the IACHR is that only the HRC will be able to request and obtain the evidence withheld by the British and Canadian secret service agencies, who will undoubtedly claim that they cannot release the said evidence to any other courts because it will jeopardize international security. This way the matter stays within the UN and sensitive evidence can be safeguarded without prejudicing my right to a fair trial.

Concluding Statement

The case I am bringing before the Human Rights Committee is of the utmost importance because it strikes at the heart of programs, policies and institutions that are out of control, have global reach and refuse to admit any wrongdoing with dire repercussions on the lives of millions, on democracy and on freedom itself. To avert global conflict and a war between the people and the elites, the HRC has a duty and the mandate necessary to take action before it is too late so as to restore democracy, the rule of law, and due process. I hope you have the wisdom and courage to do so.

I remind the HRC that my rights and the rights of my children have been taken away; my family, reputation and livelihood destroyed; my children and I separated by force, and my freedom robbed not by Al Qaida but by my very own people, the very people who are purportedly defending us from terrorists and radicals, but who in the absence of oversight are doing more damage to the fabric of society, to global peace and security and to families like mine than the world's terrorists could ever hope to achieve. The time has come to reign them.

Sincerely,

T.7	•		r		\sim		
Kev	ın	M	110	ıır ((ta	ล	lae.

Date & place: