

Dear Sir/Madam,

As a courtesy and out of respect, I write to you to be helpful in clearing up this matter expeditiously.

Simply, I don't understand.

I have received what appears to be a fine from the City of Whittlesea (hereinafter referred to as "COW") and financial claim upon my person for an alleged offence. Clearly, I object and oppose such an invalid claim seeking its revocation forthwith.

Under the cover of my objection, what I can say is that no period, lawful or otherwise, was exceeded by me. Therefore, even by your rules no offence was committed as there was no breach. Either way there was certainly no harm caused, nor was there any intent to commit an offence or cause harm. Also, on this occasion, a medical emergency at the Northern Hospital adjacent to the Epping Plaza car park (hereinafter referred to as "car park") was in progress.

Alternatively, if you wish to proceed with such a claim, further and better particulars will need to be provided to me via registered mail within the next 7 days so I may respond in an expedient manner.

Furthermore, as this claim by the COW has caused me a great deal of time, energy and stress exacerbating my already heightened stress levels, it must be noted that I respond to this claim under duress and any further injury caused by the COW will only serve to increase their liability.

I also advise that I will proceed with a counter claim against the COW seeking damages, make a Victims of Crime application and be providing awareness to the community, business and media of such harmful COW practices.

The particulars I seek from the COW will include, but not limited to,

- a) What jurisdiction the COW is operating under?
- b) What jurisdiction does the COW as a fiction believe it has over me?
- c) What harm have I allegedly done to the COW?
- d) Why is the COW causing me harm with this unlawful action?
- e) What evidence does the COW have that I was involved in commerce, and in the absence of such evidence, why commercial rules would even apply to me?
- f) Full specifics and evidence supporting COW's claim of alleged offence;
- g) Copy of the contract between the COW and myself which has been purportedly breached;

- h) The law pertaining to my rights of free passage;
- i) Why should a natural person be denied access, and/or parking, on the free grounds known as the car park at any time, for any length of time, either when attending hospital to our sick and those vulnerable in need, or otherwise?
- j) Who has title and rights (including under common law) to the car park grounds and this land?
- k) Who is the owner and/or occupier of the car park?
- l) In what way and at what time did the owner/occupier in (k) revoke consent to my attendance upon the car park?
- m) The law as it applies to the validity of fines without first obtaining a conviction by a jury;
- n) The laws against the COW for committing an unlawful act and/or crime against another and relevant penalties;
- o) The effect on the community and public funds held in trust by the COW, if a claim is made against the COW, including a Victims of Crime application, as compensation for an illegal and unlawful crime against me;
- p) All laws that protect me from such invalid claims and system abuse.

Law

In concluding, I look forward to your co-operation and timely response. Furthermore, I leave you with a quote from former Chief Justice Latham of the High Court of Australia made in 1942.

"A pretended law made in excess of power is not and never has been a law at all. Anybody in the country is entitled to disregard it."

I also refer you to the Imperial Acts Application Act 1980 – SECT 8 referred to below, where one can only be fined after a valid court conviction.

[1688] I William and Mary Sess. II (Bill of Rights) c. II

12. That all grants and promises of fines and forfeitures of particular persons before conviction, are illegal and void.

Also, courts must comply with Chapter 3 of Australia's Constitution (plurality of judges and a jury of your peers, for the indictment of any offence).

Please note that the Infringements Court is not a constitutionally recognised court. Hence, the legislation upon which you rely upon to give validity to your infringements is a pretend law.

God's Law

Only God is my Lord and master. There is no other between the union of God and myself.

This other, of course includes the COW. Of course I love the COW as a brother and sister, with the hope he and she in turn loves me.

As Pope Francis recently reaffirmed, there are two rules:

- 1) Love God above all;
- 2) Love the other (your neighbour) because he is your Brother and Sister.

"And thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind, and with all thy strength: this *is* the first commandment.

And the second *is* like, *namely* this, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. There is none other commandment greater than these." KJV Mark 12:30-31

Also,

"Love does no harm to a neighbour. Therefore love is the fulfillment of the law." NIV Romans 13:10

"You intended to harm me, but God intended it all for good. He brought me to this position so I could save the lives of many people." NLT Genesis 50:20

"May my accusers perish in shame; may those who want to harm me be covered with scorn and disgrace." NIV Psalms 71:13